PEACE OFFICERS' STANDARDS AND TRAINING

PUBLIC MEETING

July 23, 2015

1:31 p.m.

Prospector Hotel and Casino Ghost Train Room 1501 E. Aultman Ely, Nevada 89301

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Ronald Pierini, Sheriff - Chairman, Douglas County Sheriffs' Office

Clair Morris,

Elko County Sheriffs' Office

Dan Watts, Sheriff

White Pine County Sheriff's Office

Troy Tanner, Police Chief Mesquite Police Department

Russell Pedersen, Chief Deputy Washoe County Sheriff's Office

Greg Cox, Director

Department of Corrections

Dale Liebherr, Chief Investigator Office of the Attorney General

STAFF PRESENT:

Michael Jensen, Senior Deputy Attorney General Department of Motor Vehicles and Department of Public Safety

Tim Bunting, POST

Scott Johnston, Bureau Chief,
Commission on Peace Officers'
Standards and Training

- 1 RONALD PIERINI: Nevada POST is having a
- 2 Commission Meeting here on the 23rd of July, 2015 at
- 3 1:31 is when we started. The location is going to
- 4 be at the Prospector Hotel here in Ely. I would
- 5 just ask all the people that are attending in the
- 6 audience and also for the Commissioners, remind to
- 7 sign in on the attendance roster, which is off to my
- 8 left side. If you haven't done that, be sure to go
- 9 do that. At the same time, if anybody in the
- 10 audience would like to make a comment on any of
- 11 those public areas, you can be sure when you sit
- 12 down in front of us, to say your name and also what
- 13 agency you're from.
- 14 As a courtesy of others, don't forget to
- 15 turn off your cellular phones and page -- pagers so
- 16 we don't have a disruption. Remind the
- 17 Commissioners that they say their name when they
- 18 make a comment and/or an action agenda item.
- 19 Another thing is is for the Commissioners,
- 20 the microphones are very sensitive; so if you are
- 21 talking to your partner next to you, it could be
- 22 recorded.
- When the Commission makes a motion, please
- 24 clarify the motion and who made the motion.
- 25 Appreciate that.

- 1 So at this point, what I'd like to do is
- 2 to do a roll call and we'll get started with you,
- 3 Mr. Tanner.
- 4 TROY TANNER: Sure. Troy Tanner,
- 5 Mesquite.
- 6 GREG COX: Greg Cox, Department of
- 7 Corrections.
- 8 CLAIR MORRIS: Clair Morris, Elko County
- 9 Sheriff's Office.
- 10 MICHAEL JENSEN: Mike Jensen, Attorney
- 11 General's Office.
- 12 RON PIERINI: Ron Pierini, Douglas County
- 13 Sheriff.
- DALE LIEBHERR: Dale Liebherr, Attorney
- 15 General's Office.
- DAN WATTS: Dan Watts, White Pine County
- 17 Sheriff.
- RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen, Washoe
- 19 County.
- TIM BUNTING: Tim Bunting, POST.
- 21 SCOTT JOHNSTON: POST.
- 22 RON PIERINI: And, Scott, if you could,
- 23 please, mark down that Gary Schofield from Metro and
- 24 also James Wright are absent. And, also, on that
- 25 same topic, Scott, if you could tell us where you

- 1 posted this information for this meeting.
- 2 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 3 Scott Johnston for the record. This meeting was
- 4 posted in compliance with the open meeting law
- 5 requirements. It was posted at the POST
- 6 administrative office in Carson City, Nevada State
- 7 Capitol, Carson City, Blasdel State Building, Carson
- 8 City, Nevada State Library and Archives, Carson
- 9 City, Grant Sawyer Building, Las Vegas, Carson City
- 10 Sheriff's Office, White Pine County Sheriff's Office
- 11 and at the POST website and at the State of Nevada
- 12 Notice website.
- RON PIERINI: Thank you, Scott. Move to
- 14 Number 3, Discussion, Public Comment, and for
- 15 Possible Action. Approval of the minutes from the
- 16 May 7, 2015, regularly scheduled POST Commission
- 17 Meeting. I'm hoping that all the Commissioners
- 18 reviewed that. Is there anyone here that would like
- 19 to have any corrections on that? Seeing none,
- 20 anybody from the public who would like to make a
- 21 comment on those? Seeing none, and I'm looking for
- 22 a motion.
- 23 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Move to approve. Russ
- 24 Pedersen.
- 25 RON PIERINI: Okay, I have a motion. Do I

- 1 have a second?
- DAN WATTS: Dan Watts. Second.
- RON PIERINI: Dan, second. Any other
- 4 discussion. All in -- all in favor?
- 5 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 6 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed? So
- 7 carried. Information -- and this is from Interim
- 8 Executive Director. This it your time up there,
- 9 Tim.
- 10 TIM BUNTING: All right. Thank you, Mr.
- 11 Chairman. For the record, Tim Bunting, Interim
- 12 Executive Director, POST. Basically, I'm bringing
- 13 up to date on what we've done on the budget. With
- 14 the session ending, we're approved the -- for the
- 15 next biennium of 2.4 million dollars per year, which
- 16 includes replacement computers and servers for all
- 17 our computers -- were way outdated. Purchase of
- 18 \$24,000 for new smart tablets like the one I'm
- 19 using. We got \$4,000 for each year for out-of-state
- 20 travel. We also included in our budget \$12,000 per
- 21 year to buy used pickup trucks from DPS to use for
- 22 EVOC. The second year of the biennium we're
- 23 approved for \$17,000 to build a new shoot house out
- 24 at Stewart.
- One of the things we did not get approved,

- 1 and it wasn't through the legislature, it was not
- 2 recommended by the Governor, even though it was
- 3 submitted, was a new training specialist position.
- 4 Also, this is the first time in 10 -- in
- 5 at least 10 years that we have not needed to take a
- 6 General Fund loan to start this fiscal year. And
- 7 that's primarily due to we have five open positions
- 8 right now at POST, so it's salaries savings, but
- 9 we've not had to take that loan, which will make it
- 10 easier. We usually take a couple hundred thousand
- 11 dollar loan to get through the first quarter, but we
- 12 won't have to this year.
- 13 And we also just were notified we got
- 14 approved for \$33,000 for Department of Justice
- 15 assistance grant. That is going to let us replace
- 16 our mats in our gym, get some new dummies for baton
- 17 training and make some updates to one of our
- 18 classrooms.
- 19 The only legislature that has changed is,
- 20 if you remember, we had one bill that Senator Parks
- 21 was sponsoring on mandatory dog behavior training.
- 22 That is going to require us to change the NAC.
- 23 Basically, it says the Commission will adopt
- 24 regulations regarding the minimum standards for
- 25 training and effective responses to instances

- 1 involving dogs or where dogs are present. So next
- 2 meeting we'll come up with the rulemaking process to
- 3 start that regulation change.
- 4 We are in the process right now of
- 5 recruiting a new training specialist. Karen Kendall
- 6 who retired beginning of June. We have five
- 7 applicants we're going to interview on Tuesday.
- 8 We're also in the process of trying to reclassify
- 9 our fiscal position to another training specialist.
- 10 Since we weren't approved for one, we've -- we've
- 11 contracted with Admin Services to have them do our
- 12 budget and do our bill paying, so it really
- 13 eliminates the need for the fiscal management
- 14 position. So we want to reclassify that to a
- 15 training specialist. It's just -- all our positions
- 16 are -- most of them are training specialist
- 17 positions so they can -- they're kind of dual
- 18 slotted.
- 19 And subject to any questions, that
- 20 concludes my update.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Okay, thank you, Tim. Any
- 22 Commissioners have any questions? Anyone from the
- 23 public? Okay, thank you very much. All right,
- 24 we're going to go onto Number 5. This is
- 25 Discussion, Public Comment, and for Possible Action,

- 1 the Commission will consider a request from the
- 2 Henderson Police Department for an Executive
- 3 Certification for Captain Marc Cassell. And I guess
- 4 you would do that, Tim, or --
- 5 TIM BUNTING: I will do that.
- 6 RON PIERINI: Thank you.
- 7 TIM BUNTING: If you look in Item Number 5
- 8 in your book is the application for the Executive
- 9 Certificate. Captain Marc Cassell meets all the
- 10 requirements of NAC 289.270 for the Executive
- 11 Certificate and Staff recommends approval of that
- 12 certificate.
- RON PIERINI: Okay. Thank you, Tim. Any
- 14 other questions from the Commissioners? How about
- 15 the public? Anybody in the public like to make
- 16 comment? Is there anybody from Henderson here?
- 17 Okay, seeing none, I'm looking for a motion.
- 18 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner. I'll make a
- 19 motion to approve the Executive Certificate for Marc
- 20 Cassell.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Okay. Chief Tanner. Do I
- 22 have a second?
- 23 DALE LIEBHERR: Dale Liebherr. I second.
- 24 RON PIERINI: Okay, Dale. Any other
- 25 discussion? All in favor?

- 1 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 2 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed? So
- 3 carried. Number 6, Discussion, Public Comment, and
- 4 for Possible Action, the Commission to consider a
- 5 request from the Nevada Department of Corrections
- 6 for an Executive Certificate for Associate Warden
- 7 Ronald -- and Mr. Cox, say his name, please. If you
- 8 could say his last name.
- 9 GREG COX: Ronald Schreckengost.
- 10 RON PIERINI: Thank you very much. I
- 11 appreciate that. Okay, Tim.
- 12 TIM BUNTING: Again, Item 6 in your book
- 13 is the application for Associate Warden
- 14 Schreckengost for Executive Certificate. And he
- 15 does meet the requirements of NAC 289.270 for that
- 16 certificate and Staff recommends approval.
- 17 RON PIERINI: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 Commissioners, any questions? To the public.
- 19 Seeing none, looking for a motion.
- 20 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Move to approve. Russ
- 21 Pedersen.
- 22 RON PIERINI: We've got a motion. Do I
- 23 have a second?
- 24 DAN WATTS: Dan Watts. Second.
- 25 RON PIERINI: Okay, Dan, thank you. Any

- 1 other discussion? All in favor?
- 2 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed? So
- 4 carried. Thank you. Number 7. Okay, this is
- 5 Discussion, Public Comment, and for Possible Action,
- 6 hearing pursuant to NAC 289.290(1)(h). Revoking
- 7 Peter Connell, formerly with the Las Vegas
- 8 Metropolitan Police Department, certification based
- 9 on a misdemeanor conviction for Soliciting
- 10 Prostitution. The Commission will decide whether to
- 11 revoke Mr. Connell's Category I Basic Certificate.
- 12 Mr. Jensen is going to take over for that and if you
- 13 really look at this and listen to what we're doing
- 14 is a misdemeanor this time, which is usually what we
- 15 don't do, but we certainly have the power to do so.
- 16 And Mr. Jensen is going to outline that for us.
- 17 MICHAEL JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 18 Mike Jensen for the record. This is the time and
- 19 place scheduled for the hearing related to the
- 20 potential revocation of Peter J. Connell for the
- 21 misdemeanor conviction.
- 22 Just as background underlying the hearing
- 23 today, NRS 280.9510 provides for the Commission to
- 24 adopt regulations, establishing minimum -- minimum
- 25 standards for certification and de-certification of

- 1 officers. The NAC that was established pursuant to
- 2 that authorize relating to the de-certification of
- 3 officers is NAC 289.290 and it, essentially, sets
- 4 out the causes that -- for the Commission to revoke
- 5 or suspend a peace officer's POST certification.
- 6 Section (1)(h) of that regulation
- 7 authorizes the revocation or suspension of a POST
- 8 certification for a misdemeanor conviction. It
- 9 provides, when it is a misdemeanor conviction, that
- 10 the employing agency before the Commission will take
- 11 any action has to recommend that there be some
- 12 revocation or suspension action taken with that
- 13 particular employee or former employee.
- If you look behind Tab 7 in your books,
- 15 there are a number of exhibits that I would be
- 16 presenting today and will request at that end that
- 17 the Chairman admit these as part of the record in
- 18 support of any action the Commission might take
- 19 today.
- 20 The first exhibit is Exhibit A that's the
- 21 Amended Notice of Intent to Revoke. That's the
- 22 notice that the Commission is required to send out
- 23 prior to taking any action. It informs Mr. Connell
- 24 that the Commission was initiating action to revoke
- 25 his Basic Certificate, of the law that provides for

- 1 the Commission to be able to do that if appropriate.
- 2 He was informed of the date, time and location of
- 3 this hearing, his right to appear at the hearing,
- 4 present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. He's
- 5 also informed of the requirement that he let the
- 6 Commission know 15 days prior to the hearing if he
- 7 intended to appear and contest the action. And
- 8 finally, the scope of the hearing, which is whether
- 9 or not his POST certification should be revoked for
- 10 a misdemeanor conviction.
- 11 Exhibit B is the Declaration of Service
- 12 showing that that Notice of Intent was served --
- 13 served on -- on him on June 17 of 2015.
- 14 Exhibit C is the Personnel Action Form in
- 15 which the agency that he -- he worked for, the Las
- 16 Vegas Metropolitan Police Department shows that he
- 17 separated or retired from that agency on August 27,
- 18 2013, and they also indicated that there was a
- 19 conviction or an arrest that could lead to the
- 20 revocation of his POST certification.
- 21 The next document, Exhibit D, is his Basic
- 22 Post Certificate for a Category I Certificate.
- 23 Exhibit E is the letter from the agency
- 24 requesting that, based on his separation and the
- 25 misdemeanor conviction, that they request the

- 1 Commission to take action regarding Mr. Connell's
- 2 POST certificate. That satisfies the requirement of
- 3 the statute that the agency make the request before
- 4 the Commission take any action.
- 5 The next document is the Declaration of
- 6 Warrant or Summons. The reason I included that in
- 7 the exhibits is it lays out the factual basis for
- 8 the charges that were originally brought against
- 9 this officer, Mr. Connell -- the former officer. If
- 10 you go through that's what you will learn is the --
- 11 the Declaration states that Mr. Connell paid for the
- 12 services of a prostitute on several occasions, that
- 13 he normally met that individual at her apartment,
- 14 that the officers with the agency conducted
- 15 surveillance and substantiated that Mr. Connell --
- 16 substantiated Mr. Connell's illegal conduct. The
- 17 Declaration also states that the -- the officers
- 18 interviewed the -- the prostitute and she stated
- 19 that she had initially met Mr. Connell while he was
- 20 on duty as a peace officer and that she had
- 21 performed certain sexual acts on him one or more
- 22 times while he was on duty as a peace officer.
- 23 Exhibit G is the certified copy of the
- 24 Criminal Complaint. It shows that he was charged
- 25 with five counts of soliciting prostitution in

- 1 violation of NRS 201.345 for engaging in sexual acts
- 2 with the prostitute for a fee between February 7,
- 3 2013, and April 1, 2013.
- 4 Exhibit H is a certified copy of the
- 5 Disposition or Notice, which is the judgment that
- 6 shows that he was convicted of one count of
- 7 soliciting prostitution. As part of that, he was
- 8 required to pay a \$500 fine, to attend AIDS
- 9 awareness counseling, stay out of trouble for six
- 10 months and had a six-month suspended jail sentence.
- 11 And the other four counts of soliciting prostitution
- 12 were dismissed.
- Mr. Chairman, I would request that
- 14 Exhibits A through H be admitted into evidence in
- 15 support of any action that the Commission takes with
- 16 regard to this Basic Certificate.
- 17 RON PIERINI: The exhibits are acceptable.
- 18 MICHAEL JENSEN: Basically, the evidence
- 19 here shows that Mr. Connell was convicted of one
- 20 count of soliciting prostitution. The Declaration
- 21 shows that the course of the illegal behavior that
- 22 began when Mr. Connell was on duty acting as a peace
- 23 officer and continued over a significant amount of
- 24 time. The conduct violates the public trust that's
- 25 placed, certainly, in peace officers. And given

- 1 that serious conduct and that continued course of
- 2 illegal behavior, while even in his capacity as a
- 3 peace officer, I would submit that Mr. Connell
- 4 cannot be trusted to act in the capacity of a peace
- 5 officer, and by his actions, that he's disqualified
- 6 himself from that ability -- ability to be a peace
- 7 officer and would recommend that his Basic
- 8 Certificate be revoked.
- 9 RON PIERINI: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 Commissioners have any questions?
- 11 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: I just want to -- I
- 12 just want to confirm -- Russ Pedersen for the
- 13 record. Just want to confirm as far as statute
- 14 everything has been, as far as the exhibits and what
- 15 the agency has done, they've met the -- the NAC
- 16 requirement of notifications in your opinion and
- 17 that we have the ability at this time to revoke if
- 18 we choose to do so.
- 19 MICHAEL JENSEN: Yes.
- 20 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Thank you.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Any other
- 22 questions from the Commission? Okay, I -- is Mr.
- 23 Connell in the audience? Okay. Hearing none. Do I
- 24 have any public comment? Looking for a motion.
- 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Move to revoke the

- 1 Category I of Mr. Connell.
- 2 RON PIERINI: Okay.
- 3 CLAIR MORRIS: Second it. Clair Morris.
- 4 RON PIERINI: Clair you did that for
- 5 second. Okay, any discussion? All in favor?
- 6 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 7 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed? All right.
- 8 Good. All right, Number 8. Discussion, Public
- 9 Comment, and for Possible Action. Hearing pursuant
- 10 to NAC 289.290(1)(g) revoke James Henry, formerly of
- 11 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
- 12 Certification based on a felony conviction for
- 13 Possession of Visual Presentation for Sexual Conduct
- 14 of a Minor. The Commission will decide whether to
- 15 revoke Mr. Henry's Category I Basic Certificate.
- 16 Mr. Jensen.
- 17 MICHAEL JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 18 You guys are going to hear from me. We have four of
- 19 these on the agenda today, so please bear with me.
- We're relying on the same statutes and
- 21 regulation that we did for the last one with the
- 22 exception that this involves a felony conviction.
- 23 The Commission's regulations provide that if an
- 24 individual is -- is convicted of a felony, that the
- 25 Commission shall revoke their POST Certification.

- 1 The exhibits are behind Tab Number 8 for -- for Mr.
- 2 Henry. I will just real quickly go through those.
- 3 Exhibit A is the same Notice of Intent
- 4 that I just described informing him of all the
- 5 things that I described in our earlier hearing
- 6 including his right to appear today at this hearing
- 7 to present witnesses, cross-examine witnesses; and
- 8 also his requirement to let the Commission know if
- 9 he was intending to appear today 15 days prior to
- 10 the hearing date.
- 11 Exhibit B is the Affidavit of Service
- 12 showing that Mr. Henry was served with the Notice of
- 13 Intent. And there's actually an Affidavit of
- 14 Service and a Declaration of Service which are
- 15 Exhibits B and C that show that he was provided
- 16 notice of the hearing today.
- 17 Exhibit D is the Personnel Action Report
- 18 showing that Mr. Henry separated from employment as
- 19 a peace officer from the Las Vegas Metropolitan
- 20 Police Department effective November 8th of 2014,
- 21 based on the fact that he'd been charged with
- 22 offenses that could lead to termination of his POST
- 23 certificate or revocation of his POST certificate.
- 24 Exhibit E is Mr. Henry's Category I Basic
- 25 Certificate.

- 1 Exhibit F is the certified copy of the
- 2 Criminal Information charging James William Henry
- 3 with Possession of Visual -- a Visual Presentation
- 4 Depicting Sexual Conduct of a Child in violation of
- 5 NRS 200.700, 200.730, a Category B felony.
- 6 Exhibit G is the certified copy of the
- 7 Criminal Information with an interlineation. They -
- $8\,$ they took out one of the words in there that's not
- 9 a significant word for purposes of the hearing
- 10 today. That's why you have two copies of the
- 11 information.
- 12 Exhibit H is the Judgment of Conviction
- 13 showing that Mr. Henry was convicted of Possession
- 14 of a Visual Presentation Depicting Sexual Conduct of
- 15 a Child; again, a Category B felony, that he was
- 16 sentenced to a minimum of 12 months, a maximum of 30
- 17 months with four days for time served. His prison
- 18 sentence was suspended and he was placed on
- 19 probation for a term not to exceed four years. The
- 20 terms and conditions applicable to him are those
- 21 applicable to someone who's been convicted of a
- 22 sexual offense.
- 23 Based on the Commission's regulation that
- 24 provides that a POST -- individual's POST
- 25 certification will be revoked for a felony

- 1 conviction and, I guess, compounded or supported by
- 2 the fact that the conviction in this case involves,
- 3 as you can see from reading through the information,
- 4 some very serious and outrageous behavior and a
- 5 gross violation of the public's trust. And I would
- 6 submit this is a clear case for the Commission to
- 7 revoke Mr. Henry's POST certification.
- 8 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Mr. Jensen. Any
- 9 questions from the Commission?
- 10 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Just the same question.
- 11 The agency has met all the requirements of the NAC
- 12 for us to -- to revoke? All the paperwork is in
- 13 order?
- 14 MICHAEL JENSEN: Yes, they have.
- 15 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 RON PIERINI: Any other discussion from
- 17 the Commissioners? How about in the public
- 18 including that of Mr. Henry, if he's here? All
- 19 right, looking for a motion.
- 20 DALE LIEBHERR: Make a motion to revoke
- 21 Mr. Henry's Category I POST Certification.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Dale did that.
- DALE LIEBHERR: Sorry.
- 24 RON PIERINI: You're okay.
- 25 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Second it. Russ

- 1 Pedersen.
- 2 RON PIERINI: Russ. We have a second.
- 3 Any other discussion? All in favor
- 4 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 5 RON PIERINI: Any opposed? So carried.
- 6 Going to go to Number 9. Discussion, Public
- 7 Comment, and for Possible Action, hearing pursuant
- 8 to NAC 289.290(1)(g) under revocation of Derland
- 9 Blake, formerly of the Nevada Department of
- 10 Corrections, certification based on a felony
- 11 conviction for Asking or Receiving Bribe by a Public
- 12 Officer. The Commission will decide whether to
- 13 revoke Mr. Blake's Category 3 Basic Certificate.
- 14 Mr. Jensen.
- 15 MICHAEL JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 16 This is the third of our revocation hearings for
- 17 this morning. This case involves a felony
- 18 conviction, so it falls under the same regulations
- 19 of the last hearing, Section (1)(q) that provides
- 20 the Commission shall revoke the POST certification
- 21 for a felony conviction. The exhibits for this
- 22 particular item are found behind Tab Number 9. And
- 23 I would just real quickly, again, go through those,
- 24 and I ask that they be made a part of the record for
- 25 this -- for any action that the Commission may take

- 1 on this particular item.
- 2 Exhibit A is the Notice of Intent to
- 3 Revoke, again, providing all the information that
- 4 the prior -- were described in the prior hearings
- 5 including the right to appear today at this hearing
- 6 to cross-examine witnesses, present evidence and to
- 7 attend and to hear what occurs at this hearing. His
- 8 legal requirement that he inform the Commission
- 9 within 15 days of the letter of any intent to
- 10 contest and the scope of the hearing today.
- 11 Exhibit B and C are, again, the Affidavits
- 12 of Service and the Declaration of Service showing
- 13 that Mr. Blake was served with the Notice of Intent
- 14 on June 18, 2015, and that the Commission has
- 15 complied with the legal notice requirements found
- 16 both in the Open Meeting Law and POST regulations.
- 17 Exhibit D is the Personnel Action Report
- 18 showing that Mr. Henry separated from employment
- 19 with the Nevada Department of Corrections effective
- 20 October 25th of 2012.
- 21 Exhibit E is his Category III Basic
- 22 Certificate.
- 23 Exhibit F is the certified copy of the
- 24 charging document, the Criminal Information,
- 25 charging Derland Blake with one count of Asking or

- 1 Receiving a Bribe by a Public Officer. It's a
- 2 Category C felony in violation of NRS 197.040 and
- 3 alleges that on May 9, 2014, Mr. Blake asked and/or
- 4 received cash or compensated -- compensation in
- 5 order to use his position as a correctional officer
- 6 to smuggle cellular telephones, food and -- and/or
- 7 alcohol into a prison and/or provide the contraband
- 8 and cellular phones to inmates at the institution.
- 9 Exhibit G is his guilty plea agreement
- 10 where he agrees to plead to the single count of the
- 11 information that I just described to you.
- 12 Exhibit H is the Judgment of Conviction
- 13 showing that he's been convicted of that felony
- 14 offense of Asking or Receiving a Bribe by a Public
- 15 Officer. He was sentenced to a minimum of 24 months
- 16 and a maximum of 60 months, which was suspended. He
- 17 was placed on a term of probation not to exceed five
- 18 years. And there were terms and conditions attached
- 19 to that.
- 20 The evidence here shows that Mr. Blake was
- 21 convicted of a felony offense. It involved -- or
- 22 occurred while he was acting in his position as a
- 23 correctional officer at a correctional institution.
- 24 This is a very serious offense. Again, the type of
- 25 offense that is a clear violation of the public's

- 1 trust. And based on this being a felony conviction,
- 2 I would move and recommend that -- not move -- I
- 3 would recommend that the Commission revoke his
- 4 Category III Basic Certificate.
- 5 RON PIERINI: Okay, and then also I'd like
- 6 to, Mr. Jensen, on the exhibits, accept it. I think
- 7 we normally do that.
- 8 MICHAEL JENSEN: Yeah.
- 9 RON PIERINI: I think I forgot last time,
- 10 but anyway, here we go. Do I have any -- any
- 11 questions from the Commission? Okay, and how about
- 12 to the public? Is Mr. Blake is here by chance?
- 13 Okay. Not getting any, then what we're going to do
- 14 is ask for a motion.
- 15 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner. I make a
- 16 motion to revoke Mr. Blake's Category I Basic
- 17 Certificate.
- 18 RON PIERINI: Okay, thank you. I've got a
- 19 motion. Do I have a second?
- DAN WATTS: Dan Watts. Second.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Okay.
- 22 TROY TANNER: I'd like to amend that to
- 23 Category III Certificate.
- 24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. It says Cat
- 25 I on here. It's (inaudible) Cat I on the item.

- 1 That's -- I thought the same thing (inaudible) CO,
- 2 but it says Cat I right here.
- 3 MICHAEL JENSEN: Yeah, his Basic
- 4 Certificate is an exhibit and I believe it's Cat --
- 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's Cat III.
- 6 MICHAEL JENSEN: -- Cat III Certificate.
- 7 RON PIERINI: Cat III?
- 8 MICHAEL JENSEN: That's Exhibit E.
- 9 RON PIERINI: All right. Okay, so, yeah,
- 10 so clean that up a little bit. Why don't we start
- 11 over again? Mr. Tanner.
- 12 TROY TANNER: Yeah. I'll make a motion to
- 13 revoke Mr. Blake's Category III Certificate.
- 14 RON PIERINI: Okay. Thank you. Do I have
- 15 a second again?
- DAN WATTS: Dan Watts. Second.
- 17 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Dan. Any other
- 18 discussion? All in favor?
- 19 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 20 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed? So
- 21 carried. Okay, Number 10. This is Discussion,
- 22 Public Comment, and for Possible Action. Hearing
- 23 pursuant to NAC 289.290 (1)(g) on revoking Benjamin
- 24 Kyker, formerly of the Nevada Department of
- 25 Corrections, certification based on a felony

- 1 conviction for Brandishing of a Firearm in
- 2 Furtherance of a Crime of Violence. The Commission
- 3 will decide whether to revoke Mr. Kyker's Category
- 4 III Basic Certificate. Mr. Jensen.
- 5 MICHAEL JENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- 6 This is the last of the four hearings. This is the
- 7 time and place to -- to take evidence with regard to
- 8 the potential revocation of Mr. Kyker's POST
- 9 certification. We're proceeding under the same
- 10 regulation as the last hearing, Section (1)(g),
- 11 again, providing for the Commission to revoke a POST
- 12 certification for a felony conviction. The exhibits
- 13 that are being presented are behind -- at Tab Number
- 14 10. And I'll just real briefly go through those
- 15 again.
- 16 First is the Amended Notice of Intent to
- 17 Revoke, Exhibit A. Again, it informs Mr. Kyker of
- 18 the time and place of this hearing, his right to
- 19 appear and his requirement that he provide 15 days
- 20 notice if he intends to contest the action, and the
- 21 scope of the hearing.
- 22 Exhibit B is the Declaration of Service
- 23 showing that that Amended Notice of Intent was
- 24 served on him on June 18, 2015, and that the
- 25 Commission has complied with the legal requirements

- 1 for notification of both the Open Meeting Law and
- 2 the Commission's regulations.
- 3 Exhibit C is the Personnel Action Report
- 4 from the agency showing that Mr. Kyker was separated
- 5 from employment as a peace officer at the Nevada
- 6 Department of Corrections on May 31, 2013, and
- 7 indicating that he had been charged with an offense
- 8 that could lead to his -- the revocation of his POST
- 9 certification.
- 10 Exhibit D is Mr. Kyker's Category III
- 11 Basic Certificate.
- 12 Exhibit E is the certified copy of the
- 13 Criminal Indictment. In this case, the indictment
- 14 was in Federal Court. So the crime is a federal
- 15 crime, but it is a felony -- or multiple felony
- 16 account -- of felony accounts. The first is a count
- 17 for Conspiracy to Interfere with Commerce by
- 18 Robbery, a violation of 18 USC, Section 1951. Count
- 19 2 was Use of a Firearm During the Relation -- During
- 20 and in Relation to a Crime of Violence. That is a
- 21 violation of 18 USC Section 924C (1)(a)(2). Count
- 22 3, again, was another count for interference. This
- 23 one is not for conspiracy, but the actual
- 24 Interference with Commerce by Robbery, another
- 25 violation of 18 USC Section 951. And count 4 is

- 1 another Use of a Firearm During and in Relation to
- 2 the Crime of Violence in violation of 18 USC Section
- 3 924(c)(1)(a)(2).
- 4 Essentially, these are robbery charges in
- 5 this case. They're based on an allegation that Mr.
- 6 Kyker and a person by the name of William Stack
- 7 agreed to unlawfully take and obtain property which
- 8 consisted of \$21,000 and 84 books of U.S. Postal
- 9 Stamps belonging to the Wells Fargo Bank against
- 10 their will with actual and threatened force of
- 11 physical violence and fear of injury. Use of
- 12 Firearms charge are related to the Use and
- 13 Brandishing of a Firearm during the commission of
- 14 that robbery.
- 15 Exhibit F is a guilty plea agreement by
- 16 Mr. Kyker agreeing to Count -- to plead guilty to
- 17 Count 2, Brandishing a Firearm in Furtherance of a
- 18 Crime of Violence, which is a felony. Part of that
- 19 guilty plea agreement sets out the facts that
- 20 support the -- the plea. Essentially --
- 21 Before I get to that, Exhibit G is the
- 22 judgment of Conviction where he is convicted of that
- 23 crime. Essentially, the facts in this case show
- 24 that Mr. Kyker was convicted of felony offense for
- 25 using a firearm during the commission of a crime of

- 1 violence or during a robbery. This is a very
- 2 serious crime, obviously, and probably is one of
- 3 those real clear cases -- or is one of those clear
- 4 cases where I would recommend Mr. Kyker's POST
- 5 certification be revoked.
- 6 Finally, I'd ask, Mr. Chairman, that the
- 7 exhibits be admitted into evidence.
- 8 RON PIERINI: The exhibits are accepted.
- 9 Do we have any questions from the Commission? Okay,
- 10 to the public. Do we have anybody who would like to
- 11 make a comment on that particular agenda item?
- 12 Okay. And I don't see him. Is -- is Kyker here by
- 13 chance? Mr. Kyker? No.
- 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: He's in prison.
- RON PIERINI: He's in prison, so he's not
- 16 here. Okay. All right. Looking for a motion,
- 17 please.
- 18 CLAIR MORRIS: This is Clair Morris. I
- 19 make a move -- a motion to revoke Mr. Kyker's
- 20 Category III Basic Certificate.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Can I have a
- 22 second?
- DAN WATTS: Dan Watts. Second.
- 24 RON PIERINI: Dan Watts second. Any other
- 25 discussion? All in favor?

- 1 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 2 RON PIERINI: Anybody opposed? So
- 3 carried. Okay, now, we go on to Number 11. And
- 4 this is a Discussion, Public Comment, and for
- 5 Possible Action. This is pursuant to NRS 289.520.
- 6 The Commission will conduct interviews for the
- 7 following candidates for the position of POST
- 8 Executive Director including that of Thomas W. Finn
- 9 and Michael D. Sherlock. What I'd like to do is to
- 10 have a -- give it to Mike Jensen and go over the
- 11 overview of what we started some eight months ago
- 12 and what we're going to accomplish today.
- MICHAEL JENSEN: All right, thanks, Mr.
- 14 Chairman. You're going to get real tired of hearing
- 15 from me today. As we did in the last meeting, I
- 16 thought -- the Chairman asked and thought that it
- 17 would be useful to quickly go through some of the
- 18 background on how we got here today in terms of the
- 19 interviews for the Executive Director position and
- 20 the recruitment process is.
- 21 On October 6 of 2014, at that meeting of
- 22 the Commission, there was an agenda item that
- 23 provided for a discussion, public comment and action
- 24 to establish the recruitment, vetting and selection
- 25 process for the appointment of an Executive

- 1 Director, which is done pursuant to NRS 289.520. I
- 2 gave you guys copies of the -- I gave the
- 3 Commissioners copies of that statute just so you
- 4 could see what that looks like. Also provided a
- 5 copy of the -- the statute that sets out the powers
- 6 and duties of the Executive Director.
- 7 In that meeting, the Commission approved
- 8 the unclassified job announcement for the position
- 9 including the minimum qualifications for that
- 10 position. There was a nationwide recruitment done.
- 11 It was open on the 10th of October and was open for
- 12 45 days.
- 13 The Commission authorized the Chairman to
- 14 work with State of Nevada Division of Human
- 15 Resources Management to select subject matter
- 16 experts who would work in conjunction with the State
- 17 Human Resources to vet all of the applications that
- 18 had been received. They then selected five
- 19 applicants to be brought before the Commission for
- 20 interviews. That group, with those five, came up
- 21 with five candidates and two alternatives that met
- 22 the criteria that the Commission had established for
- 23 that position selection criteria, and the
- 24 applications were provided to the Chairman. The two
- 25 out-of-state applicants withdrew from the process

- 1 really early on.
- 2 The Department of Public Safety conducted
- 3 background investigations on those candidates. Each
- 4 of the candidates signed an acknowledgment and a
- 5 release and a part of that release they were
- 6 informed that their background investigation report
- 7 would become public.
- 8 The Chairman solicited proposed questions
- 9 from the Commissioners and received proposed
- 10 questions from the State Human Resources, and from
- 11 those, as you'll recall, there were nine questions
- 12 that were selected to be asked each of the five
- 13 candidates.
- 14 Those interviews took place on May 7 of
- 15 2015. The five candidates were interviewed. At the
- 16 end of the meeting, the Commission voted to bring
- 17 back two candidates, Tom Finn and Michael Sherlock,
- 18 to ask additional questions of them. Again, the
- 19 Chairman's listed questions from the members, the
- 20 Commission, and received several questions from
- 21 different members of the Commission and from those,
- 22 there was a copy of questions put together for the
- 23 interviews today. We have those. I don't know if
- 24 they've been passed out, yet, for each of the
- 25 Commissioners. Why don't we go ahead and pass those

- 1 out now? If each of you would take two of those,
- 2 one for each candidate. Those are the -- the
- 3 questions that were submitted by members of the
- 4 Commission for the interview process today. The
- 5 questions that are going to be asked today that the
- 6 Chairman has selected from those that were submitted
- 7 are only a starting point for the interviews today.
- 8 The Commissioners, of course, can ask any follow-up
- 9 questions to those questions. And at the end of all
- 10 of the questions, the Commissioners are going to be
- 11 given the opportunity to ask any question that you
- 12 would like to pose to -- to each of the candidates.
- In addition to that, the Commission has
- 14 received during the gap of time that we had from the
- 15 last interviews to this particular day today, a
- 16 number of unsolicited letters and emails related to
- 17 the appointment of the two remaining candidates.
- 18 Those unsolicited letters and emails have been
- 19 included in the Commission's packets. With those
- 20 letters, the Commission provide -- provided to each
- 21 of you and is providing to the public the following
- 22 disclaimer the Chairman has asked that I -- I read
- 23 as part of this meeting today. It states that the
- 24 following documents have been submitted by members
- 25 of the public and candidates for the Executive

- 1 Director position in conjunction with the
- 2 appointment of a new Executive Director for the
- 3 Commission on Peace Officers Standards and Training.
- 4 The views and opinions expressed in those documents
- 5 are solely those of the authors and do not reflect
- 6 in any way the views or opinions of the State of
- 7 Nevada, the Commission on Peace Officer Standards
- 8 and Training, the Commissioners, Staff members or
- 9 others related thereto. The Commission, its -- its
- 10 Commissioners, Staff members and others related to
- 11 the Commission do not endorse, support or vouch for
- 12 the accuracy of any of the information that was
- 13 received in those unsolicited letters and e-mails,
- 14 not only from members of the public, but also from
- 15 the candidates.
- 16 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Mr. Jensen.
- 17 MICHAEL JENSEN: In terms of the
- 18 procedure, Mr. Chairman, the -- the -- would you
- 19 like me to (inaudible) --
- 20 RON PIERINI: Yes, absolutely.
- 21 MICHAEL JENSEN: -- that for today?
- 22 RON PIERINI: Sure.
- 23 MICHAEL JENSEN: As was the procedure
- 24 followed in the last interview process to try to
- 25 make this process as fair as possible and not to

- 1 give anyone an advantage by hearing what the
- 2 questions are in advance, the Chairman has asked
- 3 that the following procedure be followed. So the
- 4 two candidates are going to be asked to wait in a
- 5 separate room while the interviews are taking place.
- 6 They will be brought into the room one at a time.
- 7 They -- each of them will be given a copy of the
- 8 questions that will be asked today and be given an
- 9 opportunity to think -- think about those for a
- 10 period of 10 minutes before the interview. They
- 11 have an opportunity to formulate their answers
- 12 prior.
- 13 Each of you have been given a set of those
- 14 questions as well with some comments. Just a
- 15 reminder that if you put comments on that form, it
- 16 may be discoverable at some point in time and maybe
- 17 turned in. So just keep that in mind. And, of
- 18 course, the general reminder that's -- that's given
- 19 any time we're doing interviews that I know you guys
- 20 all know already. And that is that it would -- it's
- 21 improper and questions should be avoided that relate
- 22 to things such as religion, national origin, race,
- 23 marital status, parental status, age, disability,
- 24 gender, political affiliation. Also shouldn't be
- 25 based on a candidate's exercise of constitutional or

- 1 statutory rights such as filing employee grievances,
- 2 union activities, or accessing the judicial system
- 3 through filing lawsuits.
- 4 Following the interviews of the two
- 5 candidates, the public will be given the opportunity
- 6 to provide public comments and following that public
- 7 comment, the Commission will then proceed with its
- 8 deliberations, motions and voting.
- 9 RON PIERINI: Thank you, Mr. Jensen.
- 10 MICHAEL JENSEN: I'm done.
- 11 RON PIERINI: No, you're not.
- 12 MICHAEL JENSEN: Not yet?
- RON PIERINI: Let me ask Commissioners, do
- 14 you have any questions of Mr. Jensen, then, about
- 15 what we're doing on the protocol? And I also want
- 16 to mention that the two candidates, then, will be
- 17 asked to go to -- to another -- two separate rooms.
- 18 Mr. Johnston will bring that first approximately 10
- 19 questions. We do alphabetical, so Mr. Finn will be
- 20 up first. Yes, Mr. Finn?
- 21 THOMAS FINN: Sheriff, (inaudible).
- 22 RON PIERINI: Yes, sir.
- THOMAS FINN: (Inaudible).
- 24 RON PIERINI: Absolutely.
- 25 THOMAS FINN: I appreciate your

- 1 indulgence. I apologize for having to bring this
- 2 clunky computer up here, the printer and the
- 3 (inaudible) wasn't cooperating at all this morning.
- 4 Good afternoon, gentlemen. As
- 5 Commissioners appointed by the Governor, POST is
- 6 your responsibility. And you own the good, the bad,
- 7 and the ugly of its operations. The problems I
- 8 found at POST during the past few months while
- 9 putting together a business model for the future,
- 10 some of which you are now aware of, are very
- 11 troubling and extremely disheartening.
- 12 I was particularly disgusted by the
- 13 unprovoked and cowardly attack on Sharon Daniels.
- 14 Normally, this is not the proper forum for airing
- 15 dirty laundry on an agency we have all devoted our
- 16 efforts to in a desire to better serve Nevada law
- 17 enforcement.
- 18 The problems I found happened on your
- 19 watch and on mine, too, since I served as a
- 20 Commissioner for five years until 2012. However, I
- 21 was not aware of the internal problems. And I
- 22 strongly believe that most, if not all of you, were
- 23 unaware as well. My comments today are not intended
- 24 to be an indictment of any of you or the POST staff
- 25 including Mr. Sherlock.

- 1 Although I believe in my heart that all of
- 2 you want POST to succeed, somewhere along the way,
- 3 the Commission's focus on ethics, integrity and
- 4 doing the right thing became blurred to the point
- 5 where the public trust has been violated, in my
- 6 opinion. Multiple serious and ethical violations
- 7 have been brought to light recently involving my
- 8 competitor and yet, we are all here pretending
- 9 nothing is wrong and we continue this charade for
- 10 new Executive Director, who I believe was selected
- 11 before this process even began.
- Martin Luther King stated that the time is
- 13 always right to do what is right. Doing what is
- 14 right and ethical are often extremely costly, both
- 15 personally and professionally. I have paid that
- 16 price many times in my life, but it is a check I
- 17 never hesitate to write. I cannot and will not work
- 18 for an agency where unethical, inappropriate and
- 19 improper behavior is systemic, well entrenched and
- 20 from what I have seen over the past few months, that
- 21 behavior is endorsed or at the very least condoned
- 22 by the Commission.
- I honor and respect my oath, what the
- 24 badge I wore for 32 years represents and, mostly
- 25 importantly, the rule of law. To do anything less

- 1 is an affront to everything I stand for and what
- 2 POST should always stand for. I'm not walking away
- 3 from the competition, but I will not work in an
- 4 environment where doing what is right and ethical is
- 5 the exception and not the rule.
- 6 Therefore, I will not accept this position
- 7 if it is offered, I will not participate further in
- 8 this preordained choreographed process, and I will
- 9 walk away today with my integrity and my ethics
- 10 intact. Robert Noy stated if ethics are poor at the
- 11 top, that behavior is copied down through the
- 12 organization. Nowhere more clearly is that axiom
- 13 demonstrated than at the Nevada Commission of Peace
- 14 Officers' Standards and Training. All of you have a
- 15 great deal of work to do.
- To my friends in this room, I bid you all
- 17 farewell. Thank you. Thank you, Sheriff.
- 18 RON PIERINI: I'd like to make a comment,
- 19 if I could, and maybe, Tom, you ought to stay here a
- 20 little bit just for a second, if you don't mind.
- 21 You know, we've worked extremely hard for
- 22 the eight, nine months putting this thing together,
- 23 and I can tell you this, that Mr. Jensen worked
- 24 extremely hard on this. And I give him an A plus.
- 25 And we went through all the different NRS's, the

- 1 NAC's working with the Human Resources. We've done
- 2 everything we could to be absolutely free of any
- 3 kind of things that weren't right. And I can't tell
- 4 you what -- what the agency may have some issues,
- 5 but I can tell you this Commission is together and
- 6 wanted to do exactly what we wanted to do. And that
- 7 was to select the best person for this and be in a
- 8 fair position to say we did not take sides on either
- 9 one.
- 10 Some of the paperwork that was actually
- 11 sent to us from different individuals throughout the
- 12 State of Nevada. We didn't respond to that. We had
- 13 to look into some investigation things on some of
- 14 the things that were -- were -- were people were
- 15 saying what happened. But I can tell you this, Tom,
- 16 we took it seriously, ethically, and this Commission
- 17 worked extremely hard to try to select a position
- 18 for the right job.
- Now, you might have had some bad things
- 20 that have happened to you on this particular thing,
- 21 but I feel as good as I can ever be in saying we've
- 22 been fair and very professional. I just wanted to
- 23 mention that to you, Tom.
- 24 THOMAS FINN: I appreciate that, Sheriff
- 25 Pierini. You and I have been friends for a long

- 1 time. And I consider the members of this Commission
- 2 who I served with to be friends. All I wanted to
- 3 say, though, was I'm not the right guy for this job.
- 4 And I --
- 5 RON PIERINI: All right, sir. Well, I
- 6 want to thank you for -- for putting your
- 7 application in and being with us. Thank you, sir.
- 8 THOMAS FINN: Thank you.
- 9 RON PIERINI: All right. Okay, what we're
- 10 going to have to do now is that we're going to go to
- 11 Mr. Sherlock. Please come on up here. What we're
- 12 going to do at this point is is that Scott Johnston
- 13 will escort you in handcuffs -- no, not handcuffs.
- 14 We're going to bring you over to the back over there
- 15 and we're going to give you those 10 questions,
- 16 okay. Just like what we did the last time when we
- 17 did our first vote. And we'll give you exactly 10
- 18 minutes. And at that time, you'll come up and Mr.
- 19 Johnston, then, will give you those questions one at
- 20 a time. And again, a county Commission -- or not
- 21 county, but the POST Commission can then ask any
- 22 questions about that which you're talking about,
- 23 even go out to wherever they want to and ask any
- 24 questions that they wish or desire. Okay? So what
- 25 I'm going to do is the public out here is we're

- 1 going to take a 10-minute break and we're going to
- 2 start asking you those questions. Thank you.
- 3 (Off the Record.)
- 4 RON PIERINI: The Commissioners are here.
- 5 Everybody is all accounted for. Mr. Jensen, did you
- 6 want to say anything on the handout or?
- 7 MICHAEL JENSEN: Mike Jensen for the
- 8 record. Just in addition to the documents that were
- 9 part of the -- the record for today in terms of
- 10 information received from third parties, one of the
- 11 outstanding issues that was out there still was
- 12 whether or not there was any pending investigation
- 13 against any of the candidates by the Attorney
- 14 General's Office.
- 15 And we received a copy today of a letter
- 16 dated July 21, 2015, from the Attorney General's
- 17 Office which is addressed to an individual who had
- 18 made a complaint to the Attorney General's Office.
- 19 And this letter indicates that the -- there was a
- 20 review of that submission and that there was
- 21 insufficient evidence to open an investigation. So
- 22 that matter is -- is closed and so we'll include
- 23 this in the supporting materials as well, and that
- 24 information is available also to anyone from the
- 25 public who would like a copy of that.

- 1 RON PIERINI: Okay, Mr. Sherlock.
- 2 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: I may like a copy of
- 3 that.
- 4 RON PIERINI: We have them here. All
- 5 right. All right, what we're going to do again is
- 6 to, not to be redundant, is that Scott has going
- 7 ahead, and we're going to start off with Number 1.
- 8 There's approximately 9 to 10 different questions,
- 9 we're going to give them to you. And at that time,
- 10 the Commissioners can, again, ask any questions
- 11 again dealing with that particular question or they
- 12 can say anything they wish. So Scott, go ahead and
- 13 start with Number 1.
- 14 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Thank you. Question
- 15 Number 1. Describe your short and long-term vision
- 16 for POST.
- 17 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: I'm going to try to be
- 18 brief, but I've been at POST for a while, so I'm
- 19 going to -- I'm going to try to go quickly through
- 20 this. And also, I have to mention that I wrote this
- 21 on 3, 5 -- 3 by 5 cards real quick because my little
- 22 girls wanted me to bring their bright, florescent
- 23 cards.
- I'm terms of a short-term plan, the first
- 25 thing I'd look at is the structure of POST. We have

- 1 seven -- a total of 17 authorized employees. We
- 2 have six supervisors. Perhaps at a time there was a
- 3 need for that, but now I believe that's a bit
- 4 inefficient, really doesn't fit best practices.
- 5 There's a lot of supervisors and not very many of
- 6 staff members. So I look at -- I would look at very
- 7 quickly realignment. Try to make some improvements
- 8 in our organizational structure along those lines.
- 9 In terms of training, obviously, I get a
- 10 lot of calls about basic training. Let me just say
- 11 that this -- the Academy will quickly rival Metro,
- 12 Southern Desert, you know, NNLEA, DPS, as one of the
- 13 best academies in the state. I know what premiere
- 14 academies look like. I know how to create a
- 15 premiere academy and we will have premiere academy.
- In terms of advance training, I would like
- 17 to quickly see that our classrooms are used
- 18 continually as much as we possibly can. As Mr.
- 19 Bunting mentioned, the possibility of -- of an
- 20 additional training specialist. I would like to be
- 21 able to use those classrooms, provide a service for
- 22 agencies to, not only for professional development
- 23 training, but help meet the needs in the annual
- 24 compliance and that kind of thing to -- to help
- 25 other agencies.

- 1 In the area of standards and compliance,
- 2 my short-term goal would be to create what's called
- 3 a PAM manual; POST Administrative Manual; both for
- 4 internal and external uses. In fact, Tim Bunting
- 5 has started a similar project or same thing. I
- 6 really believe that agencies and officers should
- 7 have a resource that they can refer to to not only
- 8 understand the regulations and the statutes, but how
- 9 we interpret those statutes. More importantly, when
- 10 someone calls into POST, no matter who they call,
- 11 they should get the same answer. And so that would
- 12 be my short-term goals.
- In term of -- in terms of long-term goals,
- 14 you know, I mentioned at the last interview or
- 15 meeting, that we need to create or -- or increase
- 16 the relevance of POST. And what I mean is
- 17 politically. I believe it will help us keep us, you
- 18 know, help to keep us out of the spotlight of the
- 19 federal government, but also assist in budgeting.
- 20 We are fee-based agency. We are funded by court-
- 21 assessment fees, as most of you know. This is very
- 22 similar to many states with a POST entity. But the
- 23 difference is in places like California and Michigan
- 24 and a few others who are also court-assessment fee
- 25 funded, they reimburse agencies for not only

- 1 training tuition, but backfill overtime, you know,
- 2 travel expenses, per diem, and yet they're funded
- 3 the same as us. And part of that is not having that
- 4 political clout, I guess, or relevance that I would
- 5 like to see us increase.
- 6 So my long-term goal will be to bring us
- 7 more in line with those other fee-based POST
- 8 entities. And perhaps, at least have the funding of
- 9 maybe not to pay for all of your agency's training
- 10 or all of the costs of that training, the backfill
- 11 and tuition and everything else and travel, but at
- 12 least the fund that would assist agencies in meeting
- 13 their training goals. That would be my long-term
- 14 goals.
- 15 RON PIERINI: Okay. Do I have any
- 16 questions from the Commissioners? Okay, let me ask
- 17 you a question, then, is that, you know, we've got
- 18 17 percent of that fees that we get from -- from our
- 19 -- from our -- our pot that we have and it's all
- 20 been taken away from different agencies. And so,
- 21 what kind of a battle would you have with 51 percent
- 22 of the -- the justice courts and the supreme courts
- 23 and the district courts allow us to get more money?
- 24 How would we ever develop that?
- 25 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Right. And granted,

- 1 it's even worse than that. I think we -- we split
- 2 48 percent, something like that, and we end up with
- 3 about 14 percent --
- 4 RON PIERINI: Is it 14?
- 5 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: -- of what's left over
- 6 after the courts get their 52 percent and the state,
- 7 I believe, gets five bucks right off the top of
- 8 every, you know, traffic citation. So really, we're
- 9 not even getting 48 percent of that because you've
- 10 got to take \$5 off of that.
- 11 So, you know, a couple things. As we
- 12 know, training and -- and, at least from a national
- 13 standpoint, there's a lot of emphasis and a lot of
- 14 spotlighting on -- on law enforcement today. And I
- 15 think we need to do a better job of using that
- 16 national sentiment to get to the legislature and,
- 17 frankly, the Governor's office and put pressure on
- 18 to -- to try to get a bigger piece of that pie.
- 19 RON PIERINI: Okay, again, anybody have
- 20 any questions?
- 21 DALE LIEBHERR: Dale Liebherr for the
- 22 record. Mr. Sherlock, you indicated that you want
- 23 to rework POST. And you also indicated that you
- 24 want to increase relevance. Can you elaborate on
- 25 those two?

- 1 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, again, I think
- 2 working with the Commission to have a better or a
- 3 bigger footprint at legislation, you know, during
- 4 session would help us. In terms of reorganization,
- 5 you know, that's things like the new training
- 6 specialist and that -- that particular position
- 7 maybe being able to work with other experts within
- 8 your agencies and other agencies across the state.
- 9 That kind of thing to try to, again, get more
- 10 relevance for POST to show that we're interested and
- 11 honor or increase the use of experts that we don't
- 12 have that belong to your agency. Things like that.
- RON PIERINI: Okay. Scott.
- 14 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Question Number 2. Given
- 15 the State's strains and restraints on funding for
- 16 POST, what are some of your ideas on how to achieve
- 17 long-term stabilization for POST funding?
- 18 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, and we just spoke
- 19 about this, but there's not a lot of room. I know
- 20 Mr. Bunting had a good idea and attempted to get the
- 21 disposition that I'm speaking on today into -- to be
- 22 funded from the General Fund. That would allow us
- 23 some flexibility when the fees aren't there, that
- 24 kind of thing, getting money from the General Fund.
- 25 Beyond that, you know, we could beg

- 1 everyone to write more tickets. You know, we're
- 2 fee-based, court-assessment fees. Again, that's why
- 3 I think it's more a political issue, a relevance
- 4 issue, really in trying to get a bigger piece of
- 5 that pie, those court-assessment fees.
- 6 RON PIERINI: Okay. Commissioners? Okay.
- 7 Number 3.
- 8 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Number 3. Are there any
- 9 changes you believe should be made to improve POST
- 10 including the POST standards? If so, please
- 11 describe your proposed changes.
- 12 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: There's several areas
- 13 that I would look -- and I'm going to -- I'm going
- 14 to narrow it down to just a couple now, because
- 15 later on I talk about another one. But, you know,
- 16 at this point I -- I -- I deal with the academies a
- 17 lot and I deal with training a lot in my position.
- 18 And one of the biggest problems that we're having
- 19 right now is we create performance objectives for
- 20 all the academies, yet we don't provide any
- 21 resources that describe or -- or provide information
- 22 on those performance objectives.
- 23 So one area that I'm very interested in is
- 24 creating lesson plans that meet our performance
- 25 objectives so when someone is doing training or at

- 1 the academies, there's no issue with whether or not
- 2 they've covered what will be tested on the state
- 3 certification test. So that's one area that I would
- 4 look at.
- 5 As far as the standards go, in general,
- 6 you know, I don't see any big changes. I would,
- 7 again, refer back to my short-term plan of creating
- 8 a PAM manual, a POST Administrative Manual, that
- 9 would provide a resource and also would help us and,
- 10 hopefully, prevent having to come to the Commission
- 11 for insignificant minor changes. In other words,
- 12 things like name changes of Fletzy (phonetic),
- 13 having to come to the Commission and that kind of
- 14 thing and I would look
- 15 RON PIERINI: Any questions from the
- 16 Commission? Number 4.
- 17 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Number 4. How would you
- 18 ensure law enforcement agencies throughout the state
- 19 who are involved in significant changes to POST
- 20 standards and regulations?
- 21 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Again, and right now
- 22 we're working on a project with Cat III, which comes
- 23 up later, again. You know, we have experts around
- 24 the state; we need to tap into those experts. So,
- 25 you know, I would like to see, much like we do with

- 1 NALET and SNALET, which is the advanced training
- 2 functions of agencies, I'd like to see us at least
- 3 network in the basic training arena to share
- 4 resources and share information and that kind of
- 5 thing.
- 6 Again, I think with the addition of a
- 7 training specialist, we will be able to network
- 8 better with -- with agencies across the state and
- 9 maybe create some better training, maybe deal with
- 10 some federal issues, that kind of thing. But we've
- 11 done other things recently. We've created a
- 12 newsletter that we're getting good feedback on. Any
- 13 time there's a change in POST or a change in a
- 14 regulation. People are actually reading it and we
- 15 appreciate that. Things like that I think to just
- 16 get the word out. And again, let agencies know that
- 17 we appreciate the subject matter experts and we want
- 18 them to speak to us.
- 19 RON PIERINI: Any questions? Okay, Number
- 20 5.
- 21 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Number 5. Please
- 22 describe your law enforcement experience both line
- 23 and supervisor including any experience providing
- 24 departmental training.
- 25 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Okay. I'll to be brief

- 1 again. With 31 years in law enforcement, I have
- 2 worked -- I worked the jail, I was in patrol, I was
- 3 a canine officer, I was a field training officer, I
- 4 worked narcotics a good portion of my career, I
- 5 worked Vice, I was a domestic -- I worked the
- 6 domestic violence desk, I worked robbery homicide, I
- 7 was a sergeant, I was a lieutenant, I was a
- 8 commander, I was second in command of our academy, I
- 9 worked in advance training and did -- we had to do
- 10 six -- two weeks of training every year for advanced
- 11 training, and I was on the officer involved shooting
- 12 team. I have been recognized as an expert in
- 13 managing specialized units and many other areas of
- 14 law enforcement.
- In terms of training, again, I worked at
- 16 the academy, I helped run the academy, but beyond
- 17 that, I did our yearly advanced officer training,
- 18 created many classes dealing with that. Everything
- 19 from, you know, search warrant service to patrol
- 20 issues. I created a class on undercover officers'
- 21 interaction with uniform officers and just a lot of
- 22 different training in those areas. I'll leave it at
- 23 that. I don't want to go crazy.
- 24 RON PIERINI: Let me ask you a question.
- 25 You've got -- you've got a lot of different things

- 1 that you've done and you've moved around quite a
- 2 bit. Why was there so much movement in your career?
- 3 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: I started here in
- 4 Nevada in Lyon County and it wasn't exciting for me.
- 5 And I actually grew up in Orange County, so I moved
- 6 back down to Orange County. Worked for Garden
- 7 Grove. Great place. Great police department, but I
- 8 had a son up here and I was driving back and forth
- 9 from Orange County to Yerington, actually. And had
- 10 an opportunity in Roseville. It was a growing
- 11 department. I wanted to go to a growing department.
- 12 And went to Roseville. Had a great time there. I
- 13 was there 18 years. Something like that.
- 14 After that, I went to law school. I, you
- 15 know, when you go to law school and I -- and I got
- 16 my law degree and I passed the bar. To be honest
- 17 with you, I thought I could go be an attorney. And
- 18 I quickly found out that I'm not a good attorney.
- 19 I'm a good cop, but I'm not a good attorney. You
- 20 know, I just couldn't, you know, I just -- I
- 21 couldn't be the salesman. You know, you have to be
- 22 a car salesman. If someone doesn't want a trust,
- 23 I'm not going to browbeat them into, you know,
- 24 buying a trust from me. So, it just, it was, you
- 25 know, I tried it a few times.

- 1 And so I -- a buddy of mine was a chief in
- 2 small agency in Lincoln. I went over, started a new
- 3 unit for him. Actually, ran the Operations Division
- 4 and started a narcotics unit and a gang unit for
- 5 him.
- I ended up moving to Nevada and had an
- 7 opportunity to run Boat Patrol in -- in -- in
- 8 Truckee. Thought it would be fun in a -- in a, you
- 9 know, resort tourist town. And I went up there, and
- 10 was a sergeant up there, ran their Boat Patrol in
- 11 the summer and played in the snow in the winter till
- 12 I got tired of driving up. That's it.
- RON PIERINI: Let me ask you a personal
- 14 question. You've got a lot of side jobs. Why?
- 15 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: You know, I worked
- 16 narcotics most of my career. I worked 60, 70 hours
- 17 a week. I am a workaholic. But let me be clear
- 18 about this. You know, I don't have a law firm. And
- 19 when I say in the last meeting, you know, I'm an
- 20 attorney and my -- my authorization is attorney
- 21 work. Look, I do a couple of divorce decrees a
- 22 year, maybe, for buddies, and I've done a trust.
- 23 And so, it's -- really was nothing.
- 24 Legislative Police, I've worked -- I think
- 25 I worked two Saturdays this Session. Something like

- 1 that. I take that back. Maybe more. To get some
- 2 training in the beginning. So as I've gotten older,
- 3 I've cut back on that. I'm not such a work --
- 4 workaholic. But other -- I want you to be
- 5 comfortable. The NRS is clear. I cannot have
- 6 outside employment for profit as an Executive
- 7 Director without your permission. I will have no
- 8 outside employment if I'm appointed. And I don't
- 9 foresee coming to ask you for permission. I want to
- 10 see what this job entails. I have no desire anymore
- 11 to work 20 hours a day. And that's basically it.
- 12 RON PIERINI: So if you were Director, how
- 13 long you going to stay?
- 14 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: I'd like to -- I will
- 15 commit, for sure, five years. I'd like to probably
- 16 stay eight. And then, I -- I can tell you this, I
- 17 want to be honest, I'm not going to be Dick Clark.
- 18 I -- there's no way I'm to going to work until I'm
- 19 70. It's -- it's just not going to happen. It's
- 20 not my long-term plan. But I think in five years,
- 21 probably eight years, we can accomplish quite a bit
- 22 as far as my long-term goals. And it, you know, who
- 23 knows from there, but?
- 24 RON PIERINI: Any other questions? Okay.
- 25 I think we're on Number 5, right? Six? Okay.

- 1 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Six.
- 2 RON PIERINI: Six, I mean.
- 3 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Question 6. Presently,
- 4 POST will not honor training towards POST
- 5 Intermediate, Advanced, Management or Executive
- 6 Certificates that is not POST certified including
- 7 FBI National Academy, Southwest Command College,
- 8 National Sheriffs Institute or International
- 9 Association of Chiefs of Police. Would you consider
- 10 revisiting this issue and addressing it? If so, how
- 11 would you address it?
- 12 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: You guys want me to
- 13 make you mad. I mean, we get this a lot. I see
- 14 Northwestern is not on here because we do have them
- 15 now. You know, a couple things. We talked about
- 16 relevance, right? And here's the problem for us.
- 17 It's not unusual for us to have people go to Utah or
- 18 Arizona or California or Idaho and go to training.
- 19 And then, they want to use that training for a
- 20 Nevada POST Intermediate. If we're going to, you
- 21 know, increase our relevance and increase the
- 22 knowledge of POST and the purpose of POST, I was for
- 23 that -- having it only POST certified. Now, but
- 24 understand, that all of these can easily get their
- 25 program certified with us. I mean, every one of

- 1 them easily meets our minimum requirement. And so,
- 2 what we've been doing is when someone calls us, we -
- 3 we've been say, "Look, you have -- you have a
- 4 contact at Northwester, " I use them because we have
- 5 them now. You know, "Can you call them? Have them
- 6 call us. We will walk them through the process."
- 7 We can easily get these -- these classes POST
- 8 certified. And many of them want to be POST
- 9 certified. They're just a little bit confused on
- 10 what our process is. And it's not a tough process.
- 11 So I don't know that it's -- it's --
- 12 there's a need to change the policy, there's a need
- 13 to -- there's more of a need to educate these
- 14 training providers. Many of them want state
- 15 certification because it gives them credibility
- 16 also. So I think we're probably better to -- to try
- 17 to get those providers educated on how they can get
- 18 their -- their -- their courses certified than
- 19 really changing that policy.
- 20 And part of that is, I know these are good
- 21 courses, but do we make an exception just for them?
- 22 And then what happens when -- when a private vendor
- 23 in Utah says, well, you know, without us vetting it,
- 24 there's no way, you know what I'm saying, we can't
- 25 vet those and allow only some, but not others

- 1 without causing ourselves problems. So, if that
- 2 makes sense. And I'll answer any questions. And I
- 3 know you're mad.
- 4 RON PIERINI: Okay. How about it? Some
- 5 Commissioners?
- 6 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen. So
- 7 you're answer that is no, you won't reconsider it or
- 8 yes, you would revisit?
- 9 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: I would revisit it if
- 10 you can come up with a criteria that doesn't cause
- 11 us issues that, you know, if you're going to certify
- 12 this outside of Nevada training, how come you won't
- 13 certify this outside of Nevada training? And I'll
- 14 tell you this. I just did a webinar with IADLEST
- 15 and there's a national certification program. And
- 16 so this may be, you know, a mute point at this
- 17 point, but they have created a national
- 18 certification program. They would like every state
- 19 on board. And so, there may be an opening here for
- 20 courses like this who do get nationally certified
- 21 and we accept them through that national
- 22 certification. So I know that's not clear, but I
- 23 would accept that. I mean, I would look at that.
- 24 And we are looking at that even now. And, you know,
- 25 so from that standpoint, yes.

- 1 RON PIERINI: Okay. Anyone else? Number
- 2 7.
- 3 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Question 7. What process
- 4 of assessment would you take in order to evaluate
- 5 and improve Category III Peace Officer Training
- 6 requirements?
- 7 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, and as you know,
- 8 Cat III right now I don't think has anything to do
- 9 with PREA, which is a big deal and it should. But
- 10 what we've done recently, and we are working on this
- 11 right now is we have asked -- Department of
- 12 Corrections is helping us now and Metro Detention
- 13 has -- has offered some help. We are currently
- 14 looking at our Cat III performance objectives.
- 15 We've -- we've known there's a problem. The
- 16 performance objectives refers to case law that's not
- 17 even out there any more. So we're trying to use
- 18 experts from -- from Department of Corrections to
- 19 help us update those performance objectives and move
- 20 forward from there. And we are actually working on
- 21 that right now.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Mr. Cox.
- 23 GREG COX: Yeah, Mr. Chairman. I would
- 24 certainly appreciate that Mr. Sherlock and, you
- 25 know, and I know your staff and my staff -- I've

- 1 advised my staff to continue to work with you. I
- 2 know that we've worked with various sheriffs and
- 3 others in other counties in regards to PREA.
- 4 Certainly, it's one of our concerns, I think, just
- 5 for the knowledge of the (inaudible) the Commission.
- 6 You've done a very good job. You've been in
- 7 compliance. We just recently had some more audits
- 8 and haven't got the formal report back, but they do
- 9 look good for our institutions in Nevada. So, I
- 10 think we're ahead of that game, and, certainly, want
- 11 to help POST any way we can making Category III
- 12 training the best we can make it.
- 13 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: And you are, by the
- 14 way. They -- we are working with your staff and
- 15 creating at least performance objectives.
- RON PIERINI: Okay.
- 17 TROY TANNER: Yeah. Troy Tanner. Hey, I
- 18 agree, POST should lead the way and be one of the
- 19 academies that pass some things down to, say, the
- 20 south, for example, and other academies. What are
- 21 some of the ways on the Cat I side of it? You
- 22 talked about your improve and change. Can you give
- 23 us some examples what you're going to do different
- 24 than what's going on right now?
- 25 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Wow. There's a lot,

- 1 Chief. But, you know, me -- for me, it's more
- 2 philosophical. But one of the ways is -- and
- 3 understand at our academy, they are not our
- 4 employees. Right? We -- we have cadets and
- 5 recruits that are coming from the agencies, rural
- 6 agencies primarily, but also state agencies. So,
- 7 there is some issues with that. There's no doubt
- 8 about.
- 9 But just to give you a big over bill --
- 10 overview, standards and objectives and policies will
- 11 be looked at and they will be adhered to. And
- 12 violation of policy and standards will result in
- 13 action. We need to have a close working
- 14 relationship with those agencies that send people to
- 15 us, but they have to understand that the integrity
- 16 of the academy is the most important thing. So
- 17 we'll have rules and they'll be followed.
- I don't want to go into specific as far as
- 19 staff or anything like that, but philosophically,
- 20 there will be standards and -- and policies will be
- 21 adhered to.
- 22 TROY TANNER: One more follow-up question.
- 23 So are you going to -- I know it all costs money, of
- 24 course, and the budget is not that great this year,
- 25 but are you going to work on any more comparable --

- 1 you said the south, for example. Most academies
- 2 down there are 20 weeks. We're -- we're down to 12
- 3 or 13 weeks here. We have all these new problems --
- 4 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Right.
- 5 TROY TANNER: -- you know, across the
- 6 nation --
- 7 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Right.
- 8 TROY TANNER: -- with different things we
- 9 haven't dealt with in the past. We keep doing the
- 10 same thing over and over again. But everything is
- 11 changing in law enforcement constantly.
- 12 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Right. Right. From a
- 13 budget standpoint, which you know, we're -- we're --
- 14 it's set, that's difficult proposition, no doubt
- 15 about it, but as far as increasing the length of the
- 16 academy. So, I, you know, I'd have to look at that.
- 17 TROY TANNER: Yeah, the quality and
- 18 quantity of training.
- 19 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: And I don't know if
- 20 that can -- right. I'm hopeful for another training
- 21 specialist will -- will help us. And we'll see how
- 22 it goes there.
- 23 RON PIERINI: Anyone else?
- DAN WATTS: Dan Watts for the record.
- 25 Instructors within the Academy that are volunteering

- 1 and coming in, I've been -- we see that a lot of
- 2 them are not coming back. There's some issues
- 3 there. What can we do to address that?
- 4 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, a couple things.
- 5 And I think that's a big problem and I will talk
- 6 about that a little bit. I don't want, you know,
- 7 there's an issue with buy-in. But, you know, tact
- 8 staff should not teach academic subjects in an
- 9 academy. Our problem is -- and part of it is
- 10 budgets for agencies that help us, too. So, don't
- 11 misunderstand me, and being able to free up some
- 12 instructors and that kind of thing.
- But I would like to see, again, the
- 14 Academy Commander to meet with all the agencies that
- 15 we serve and determine what expertise they may have
- 16 within their agency and who can teach. And if
- 17 there's a reason that they don't want to teach, I
- 18 want to know about it. Why are they not teaching?
- 19 You know, and again, from my -- my
- 20 perspective, people don't call us. We do our
- 21 surveys at the end of the Academy, nobody complains,
- 22 nobody says anything. But then they call me
- 23 afterwards, you know. Or -- or they call someone on
- 24 staff. You know, we need that feedback if we are to
- 25 make any changes in that academy. We need people to

- 1 stand up and tell us where do you think we should
- 2 improve, what are the issues and that kind of thing.
- 3 I mean, it's a two-way street. It is a concern. We
- 4 have lost most of our -- most -- we've lost a lot of
- 5 our instructors for a variety of reasons and we will
- 6 work on bringing agency experts, where we can, back
- 7 in to teach. We want them.
- 8 RON PIERINI: Okay.
- 9 GREG COX: Mr. Chairman, Greg Cox for the
- 10 record. There was some testimony -- prior testimony
- 11 on vacancies. I'm kind of concerned. I think you
- 12 had five; is that correct? And what are your plans
- 13 or what do you -- why do we have so many vacancies
- 14 and what are your plans to fill those vacancies?
- 15 And if there are issues that we can help you with,
- 16 you know, certainly, I think we would like to know.
- 17 I think five vacancies for -- for POST is
- 18 significant.
- 19 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: It is significant.
- 20 GREG COX: And certainly -- and it
- 21 certainly impacts the other agencies, too, that are
- 22 providing assistance to your help. So.
- 23 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, you could help us
- 24 make POST pers exam. That would help us a lot. But
- 25 beyond that, first of all, understand our vacancies.

- 1 We had two retirements in June to -- to mid-June.
- 2 So it really hasn't been that long. We're talking
- 3 about one month. We had -- we advertised. And --
- 4 and, frankly, and I think Mr. Bunting mentioned that
- 5 we are doing interviews on Tuesday. Potentially --
- 6 potentially, three of those positions will be filled
- 7 by that testing Tuesday. They are all training
- 8 specialist positions. So that, you know, skews the
- 9 numbers a little bit.
- The other issue is that, well, frankly,
- 11 we've -- we've been in limbo a little bit, clearly,
- 12 with the -- with the budget, what's going on and all
- 13 that kind of thing.
- 14 The other positions are -- are internal
- 15 promotion positions. We have sent that out and so
- 16 we can post that and those should be filled fairly
- 17 quickly. So. There's just circumstances, It
- 18 doesn't happen usually like that.
- 19 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen. Follow-
- 20 up. And maybe I misunderstood you. You said
- 21 there's two positions that are promotional
- 22 positions. And your original -- you were talking
- 23 about readdressing the org charts. So if you're
- 24 offered this position, will those two positions be
- 25 frozen so you -- you're not --

- 1 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: No.
- 2 RUSS PEDERSEN: -- top heavy if you want
- 3 to call it that?
- 4 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, no. And let me
- 5 be clear, what we're talking about that AA position
- 6 is an administrative assistant position, so moving
- 7 from one to a higher -- internally. That's what
- 8 those are.
- 9 RUSS PEDERSEN: Okay.
- 10 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: And the other ones are
- 11 training specialists.
- 12 RON PIERINI: Number 8.
- 13 SCOTT JOHNSTON: Question 8. What role do
- 14 you believe POST should play in addressing
- 15 significant challenges facing law enforcement
- 16 related to use of force, allegations of racial bias
- 17 and community relations?
- 18 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, first let me --
- 19 let me tell you that I read the latest consent
- 20 decree, which I'm sure some of you have also out of
- 21 Cleveland, 155 pages, but it reads like most of
- 22 them. Pretty much the same thing, right? Whether
- 23 it's New Orleans, L.A., Cleveland. What that
- 24 consent -- consent decree forces Cleveland to do is
- 25 have training on community relations, training on

- 1 use of force issues with people that are handcuffed,
- 2 shooting at or from moving vehicles, and you
- 3 probably know that one was Cleveland's issue in the
- 4 beginning. Even though they did have a policy on
- 5 that, by the way. Tracking, use of force and having
- 6 use of force review boards. That's always a big
- 7 issue. And I thought the interesting one as far as
- 8 Cleveland was requirement that they increase their
- 9 budgeting and investment in technology. And if you
- 10 look deeper in that, they're specifically talking
- 11 about MDTs or MDCs in -- in their patrol vehicles
- 12 and that kind of thing. So I thought that was
- 13 interesting.
- But what can we do in Nevada to maybe
- 15 preempt that and prevent problems? Again, I think
- 16 that having the ability to increase that -- that
- 17 training specialist number gives us some flexibility
- 18 that we can have people working with experts across
- 19 the state on those specific issues and preempt this.
- 20 Maybe create training that we know DOJ and the Civil
- 21 Rights Division always include in their consent
- 22 decrees. So that would be one area that I would
- 23 look at in those terms.
- 24 Again, looking to IADLEST on their
- 25 national certification. One -- one interesting part

- 1 about their standards for certification courses is
- 2 determining whether or not that training has any --
- 3 or is what's called bias-free training. And so, I
- 4 would look at that. And again, trying to keep us
- 5 ahead of the -- ahead of the game and out of the
- 6 crosshairs.
- 7 And, finally, and I know I spoke about
- 8 this earlier and that I would bring it up. But
- 9 nationally right now -- national news services are
- 10 doing a big story on POST regulations. I know Mr.
- 11 Bunting has talked to them. I've talked to the AP,
- 12 they did a public records access request from us.
- 13 That -- that is still coming. And what -- what this
- 14 news story is about is how do we revoke
- 15 certification? How are peace officers revoked? And
- 16 I can tell you that the tact of that continues and
- 17 is that if you require a criminal conviction for
- 18 revocation, they are going -- that's going to put a
- 19 spotlight on us. I mean, that's just the way it is.
- 20 From their standpoint. So, it may be something that
- 21 in the future we may want to look at because it's
- 22 coming. You know, at least the media is on it. And
- 23 again, in an attempt to keep us out of the national
- 24 spotlight and all that -- that kind of thing. So.
- 25 It may -- it may be that we want to look at that

- 1 regulation in terms of revocation and make sure
- 2 we're good there. Without, you know, any analysis
- 3 at this point, I'm just saying the media is looking
- 4 at that right now. So.
- 5 RON PIERINI: Any questions? The word
- 6 culture of an organization that does all these bad
- 7 things. How do you change culture?
- 8 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, first -- first,
- 9 let me -- I want to say I don't think POST does bad
- 10 things. I -- I --
- 11 RON PIERINI: Not talking about POST.
- 12 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Oh, okay.
- RON PIERINI: I'm talking about law
- 14 enforcement.
- 15 MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Well, revocation
- 16 regulations, one. I have always had a problem with
- 17 -- or, you know, I'd like to see us be able to
- 18 revoke where we can in terms of culture. I think
- 19 that from -- from an organizational standpoint in
- 20 changing that culture, at least what POST can do,
- 21 is, again, do these things that we've already talked
- 22 about today. You know, look at the national
- 23 certification. What are they doing? Provide that
- 24 training that we know is relevant and increases
- 25 professionalism. And I got to tell you, I mean, I

- 1 see it from the Academy level, those Academy
- 2 recruits need to know from day one what Brady is.
- 3 And we need to do a better job of -- of -- of
- 4 getting that across, I think, from the start.
- 5 And backgrounds. We've got to ensure that
- 6 our agencies are doing at least what's required
- 7 under the NAC in terms of backgrounds. And again,
- 8 if we're able to create that PAM manual, just to
- 9 talk a little bit about backgrounds, what I'd like
- 10 to do is have it clear. We are authorized to
- 11 inspect backgrounds. We don't because too often
- 12 agencies have prohibitive material in their
- 13 backgrounds that we can't look at. So, with the PAM
- 14 manual, we'll be able to instruct agencies on how to
- 15 create their backgrounds that will allow us for
- 16 inspection to ensure that they're meeting the
- 17 requirements under NACs. So, that's what I would
- 18 say.
- 19 RON PIERINI: Okay. Anybody else have a
- 20 question? Okay. And now we're at Number 9 and that
- 21 is for any additional questions by the Commission.
- 22 Anybody want to make any question or you okay?
- 23 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen here. So
- 24 during this process, there was a lot of -- I'm going
- 25 to call it mudslinging back and forth between -- and

- 1 I'm going to say the camps. And camp, what I mean
- 2 could be family members, could be relatives, it
- 3 could be just concerned citizens who took interest
- 4 in the process.
- 5 During that, though, I would say there
- 6 were questions or concerns on, from both sides of
- 7 issues. You know, one, it's a two-part question.
- 8 One, do you feel that you ethically held to a high
- 9 standard during this process? And two, how do you
- 10 plan on overcoming, because I do believe both sides
- 11 if Finn was still a candidate, if he didn't pull
- 12 back, you know, how are you going to manage that
- 13 with not only staff, but with concerned citizens and
- 14 did we hire the -- or did we offer the position to
- 15 the right candidate? So how do you overcome?
- MICHAEL SHERLOCK: Two things. Well,
- 17 first of all, I'm fairly certain I have full support
- 18 of Staff, and I know there's some question or
- 19 something on that, but -- so I'm not concerned with
- 20 that.
- 21 As far as the, you know, allegations, it's
- 22 tough. I have never worked anywhere during the day
- 23 other than POST. So I don't even know how to
- 24 address that. I, you know, if, you know, I taught
- 25 at the Academy and I taught criminal law classes.

- 1 So I'm not real -- real sure on -- on how to address
- 2 this. You know, without being defensive. I didn't
- 3 do anything wrong. I don't know what to say. You
- 4 know. It is what it is.
- 5 As far as the public is concerned, you
- 6 know, at least in the law enforcement community in
- 7 terms of our public, everyone has been very
- 8 supportive -- supportive of me.
- 9 And as far as my ethics during this
- 10 process, I can tell that my wife didn't write a
- 11 thing because she wouldn't. You know, I didn't
- 12 write one thing. My sister-in-law did. In fact, if
- 13 she would have come to me first, I would have told
- 14 her to mail each of you that -- the letter that she
- 15 wrote. But she didn't tell me till after the fact.
- 16 Did she mail it to the Governor because she, you
- 17 know, she had a statement she wanted to make. I
- 18 don't know.
- 19 So, I feel very comfortable that, from an
- 20 ethical standpoint, I kept to the high road.
- 21 RUSS PEDERSEN: Thank you.
- 22 RON PIERINI: Any other questions? Okay,
- 23 what I'm going to do now is ask the public. So why
- 24 don't you go ahead and sit down over here? And you
- 25 may have to be called back up to answer a question

- 1 or two. I'm not sure. So I'm reaching out to the
- 2 public here in this room. Is there anybody that
- 3 would like to make any comment? Please. You have
- 4 to come up here, please, and say your name and --
- 5 GREG BENALAK: My name is Greg Benalak
- 6 (phonetic). I have 31 years experience in law
- 7 enforcement. I have a master's degree. I just want
- 8 to let you to know you're not talking to some idiot
- 9 here.
- 10 Mr. Sherlock was talking about funding. I
- 11 believe there -- there is money out there for POST
- 12 with the national, you know, spotlight is on the use
- 13 of force, community relations, use of force, the
- 14 Cleveland study I'm sure you're familiar with.
- 15 There is money out there. There is going to be
- 16 money out there. If POST did have a grant writer,
- 17 there are grants that POST can get for training --
- 18 for this type of training. There are grants that
- 19 the individual law enforcement agencies can get for
- 20 this type of training. So there is money out there
- 21 and there is going to be more money out there in
- 22 reference to this type of training.
- 23 I mean, the law enforcement culture has
- 24 changed. I mean, from when you -- everybody sitting
- 25 here, including myself, when we were young officers,

- 1 there's things we did and we had a great time that
- 2 we kind of think back now and go hmm, that wouldn't
- 3 fly these days. Not at all. So the culture of law
- 4 enforcement has to be changed.
- 5 I'm thinking maybe in the Academy there
- 6 should be a class about the culture of law
- 7 enforcement and how the law enforcement has to
- 8 change in order to fit the problems and the issues
- 9 these days, you know, facing law enforcement. That
- 10 would be a good course. If not in the Academy,
- 11 maybe in advanced officer training.
- 12 As far as lesson plans, I know that was
- 13 hit on in relationship to performance objectives.
- 14 In POST, lesson plans are commensurate with the
- 15 performance objectives, however, they need to be in
- 16 more detail. I hate to bring up California.
- 17 California does this or does that. Well, they have
- 18 volumes and volumes of their lesson plans, which are
- 19 commensurate with performance objectives. I
- 20 attended an instructor development course. It was a
- 21 very, very intense course, in order to get just a
- 22 certificate. And you cannot delineate from
- 23 anything. They will take you into a room with
- 24 volumes of performance objectives that have to be
- 25 commensurate with their lessons plans.

- 1 As far as advanced officer training, I
- 2 would like to see POST get more involved, take more
- 3 of an active role in advanced officer training. I'm
- 4 not sure what the requirements are for your
- 5 departments, whether they have to do a certain
- 6 amount of hours a year. Again, this advanced
- 7 officer training should be required, but it also
- 8 should go towards your POST certificate, too;
- 9 towards your POST certification.
- 10 Cultivating instructors. I was able to
- 11 work with some of the instructors from Douglas
- 12 County. Tell you what, I was impressed. When I --
- 13 I briefly worked at POST as a training officer and I
- 14 was impressed with the guys from Douglas County.
- 15 They were great trainers. Very thorough, very
- 16 personable with the Academy recruits. And my
- 17 compliments to Douglas County.
- 18 I just want to close, you know. There was
- 19 a lot of mudslinging. I was rather appalled, being
- 20 an outsider and looking in. Sometimes you have to
- 21 go on the defense when situations like this occur.
- 22 And I'd like to say one thing. Mr. Sherlock has two
- 23 three-year-old daughters, they're going to be going
- 24 to college so I believe he's going to be at POST
- 25 longer than five to eight years to put his kids

- 1 through college. So. Thank you very much for
- 2 letting me speak.
- 3 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Anybody else in
- 4 the audience would like to talk? Okay. We're going
- 5 to -- seeing none, we're going to move on, then, to
- 6 discussion from the Commissioners. If you wish, you
- 7 can tell us what you feel.
- 8 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen here. My
- 9 only concern moving forward here is to make sure
- 10 that we have addressed any issues or all the issues
- 11 have been addressed. There's, again, I'm not
- 12 calling it mudslinging. There's been quite a few
- 13 allegations made. I don't know if all those
- 14 allegations have been investigated all the way
- 15 through. I know we had some closure here today. I
- 16 know there's been some public information requests
- 17 that -- that may or may not have been addressed in -
- 18 in these various documents that have been provided
- 19 to us. So at the end of the day, I just want to --
- 20 and this has nothing to do with Mr. Sherlock, I just
- 21 want to make sure before we appoint somebody, if it
- 22 is him or it is not, and we go back out and retest,
- 23 is that have we addressed everything? Because at
- 24 the end of the day, you know, this Commission has to
- 25 answer for it. We have to answer to the Governor.

- 1 We have to make sure it's defendable. I want to
- 2 make sure that we have addressed all these concerns.
- 3 And I don't know if we have yet or not in these
- 4 documents.
- 5 RON PIERINI: I don't know if it's fair to
- 6 ask Mr. Jensen this, but what are you -- what's your
- 7 feeling on that? Have we done everything we
- 8 possibly could?
- 9 MICHAEL JENSEN: Yeah, it's probably not
- 10 fair. I think probably you'd have to talk to POST
- 11 staff in terms of responding to -- to public records
- 12 requests. I know there were a number of questions
- 13 about whether documents that have been requested had
- 14 been provided. And I have -- I can say that I have
- 15 advised and worked with POST staff on that, but
- they'd be probably more appropriate ones to talk
- 17 about that.
- 18 In terms of individual allegations made in
- 19 all of these documents, it would be pretty difficult
- 20 to go out and investigate every allegation that was
- 21 thrown out in the course of those documents. I --
- 22 the document that I brought to -- to your attention
- 23 this morning was one that was provided by the
- 24 Attorney General's Office this morning was with
- 25 regard to one of those allegations that was

- 1 submitted by a member of the public to the Attorney
- 2 General's Office and I'll say on the record I wasn't
- 3 involved at all in that process of looking at that
- 4 allegation. But I can say that they have responded
- 5 from the Attorney General's Office to that one
- 6 particular allegation, which had to do with, I think
- 7 had to do with secondary employment and whether or
- 8 not there was any kind of a criminal element to --
- 9 to that. And they responded that they weren't going
- 10 to -- to go forward with the investigation I think
- 11 is -- I don't want to paraphrase the letter. The
- 12 letter speaks for itself, but they've responded to -
- 13 my office has responded to that.
- 14 RON PIERINI: Thank you.
- 15 TIM BUNTING: I can address the public
- 16 records request. Excuse me. Tim Bunting for the
- 17 record. We had one public records request from
- 18 Joseph Kraniak (phonetic) of Boulder City. We
- 19 provided all the information that he asked for. The
- 20 last thing we did was, for those who are in state
- 21 service, we fall under the Enterprise Information
- 22 Technology Service. And we went through them to
- 23 have them search their database for e-mails. They
- 24 came up with 21 e-mails that were between the
- 25 Chairman and myself dealing with bagpiper updates

- 1 and pretty -- everything had nothing to do with what
- 2 he was looking for. He was looking for e-mail and
- 3 any memos between or about Mr. Sherlock's outside
- 4 employment.
- 5 So we had to mail that to him because
- 6 every time we sent it to him, it went out encrypted
- 7 because there were numbers in the subject line and
- 8 we couldn't -- Kathy Floyd, my executive assistant,
- 9 was not able to talk him through how to get the free
- 10 software to open up the e-mails. He just didn't
- 11 have that capability. So we have provided
- 12 everything that they have asked for. And then some.
- 13 We try to be cooperative. He is not the most easy
- 14 individual to work with. So. But we gave him what
- 15 he wanted.
- 16 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Thank you. Russ
- 17 Pedersen. I just want to, you know, and I
- 18 appreciate that. Thank you. I just want to make
- 19 sure that, A, if Mr. Sherlock is offered the
- 20 position, that we set him up on the right path as
- 21 well as I think we owe it to all the POST -- members
- 22 of POST that -- that we start everyone on the right
- 23 foot and we move forward and not continue to review
- 24 past issues, allegations, etcetera, etcetera. So.
- 25 Appreciate it. I just, again, I just want to make

- 1 sure that we, to the best of our ability, that we've
- 2 addressed these issues. No one has a crystal ball,
- 3 but that we've done our due diligence as we --
- 4 should we make a motion.
- 5 RON PIERINI: Okay. Thanks, Russ. Dan,
- 6 would you like to say anything?
- 7 DAN WATTS: Yeah. Yeah, I'd like to put
- 8 on the record that --
- 9 RON PIERINI: Is that Dale?
- 10 DALE LIEBHERR: Dale Liebherr. But when
- 11 the complaint was submitted by Mr. Kraniak, it was
- 12 submitted to my office. As being part of this
- 13 Commission, I immediately discover -- you know,
- 14 determined it was -- I wanted a conflict out on that
- 15 investigation. So I did relinquish that to another
- 16 part of my office. So I was not involved in any
- 17 type of that investigation and I don't know what
- 18 occurred. Today was the first time I saw this
- 19 letter. I stayed out of that. So I just wanted to
- 20 put that on the record.
- 21 RON PIERINI: Okay. Mr. Watts, would you
- 22 like to say anything? Go ahead.
- 23 GREG COX: Mr. Chairman.
- 24 RON PIERINI: Yeah.
- 25 GREG COX: Greg Cox for the record. Are

- 1 there any outstanding public information requests
- 2 that we -- that you haven't responded to?
- 3 TIM BUNTING: Tim Bunting for the record.
- 4 No. There is -- there are none. The last thing we
- 5 had, like I said, we had to send him the -- the CD
- 6 disk that EITS provided us was what they gave us and
- 7 he just could not open it, so we just mailed it to
- 8 him this morning.
- 9 RON PIERINI: Thank you. Chief, anything?
- 10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't have any
- 11 comments.
- 12 RON PIERINI: Okay. So, what we have to
- 13 do is decide today -- is there somebody going to
- 14 make a motion on where we're going?
- 15 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen. From a
- 16 defendable and human resource, really, question, do
- 17 we make that motion now or do we wait and -- and
- 18 determine that there are no other issues,
- 19 outstanding issues, and then move forward? Not that
- 20 we want to delay this any longer than it has been,
- 21 but you know, from a defendable position from the
- 22 State, is there any justification to postpone to see
- 23 what other issues may arise?
- 24 RON PIERINI: Well, if I could, I don't
- 25 know if I'm saying this right.

- 1 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Nor am I.
- 2 RON PIERINI: So, you know, we have been
- 3 working this, as I mentioned earlier, about eight or
- 4 nine months. We've been getting some kind of
- 5 information that come to us, some of it doesn't --
- 6 is relevant of what we can even do with it. You
- 7 know, we -- we've got to make a decision whether or
- 8 not we're going to -- to go ahead with Mr. Sherlock
- 9 or we're going to go ahead and -- and you have the
- 10 option, obviously, to say that -- that we're going
- 11 to have to look in the future for other ways to do
- 12 this. But I think that we've -- we've gone long
- 13 enough. I'm going to tell you, the resources that
- 14 we've put into this, the time we've put into it, the
- 15 hard work, everything else. It's got to come to an
- 16 end one way or another. I'm not suggesting that you
- 17 should say Sherlock or nothing. It is whatever you
- 18 want to do, that's what we should really do.
- 19 Because you know what, as these letters come in,
- 20 most of them are -- are something you can't follow
- 21 on. And I don't think that we have the resources to
- 22 do so or the time. So what I -- I'm looking at is
- 23 that -- that we should -- we should try to come up
- 24 with something today in which direction we're going
- 25 to go.

- 1 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Russ Pedersen, again.
- 2 Based off the interview process both back in May, I
- 3 believe it was, and the interview process today, I
- 4 do believe we have a candidate in front of us that
- 5 is -- that meets the requirements who is -- who has
- 6 a desire to be the Director and -- and so I will
- 7 make a motion to approve his appoint -- or I guess
- 8 that's the wrong -- or recommend the appointment of
- 9 -- of Mr. Sherlock to the POST Director position.
- 10 RON PIERINI: If I could clarify, it's
- 11 going to be an appointment.
- 12 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: Or, appointment.
- 13 Sorry. Thank you.
- RON PIERINI: So, if we go forward with
- 15 that and that's done, it's an appointment. However,
- 16 I feel I have the -- I'm just talking out loud. I
- 17 don't know if this is the right time to do it. But
- 18 I think as respect to our Governor, that I will,
- 19 then, if that was happening, if we do vote for that,
- 20 then I would go see the Governor and say this is who
- 21 we selected. Even though the statute says we can, I
- 22 want to make sure that we did everything thorough
- 23 with him and he's okay with it. So that's just my
- 24 opinion.
- 25 So we have a motion.

- 1 RUSSELL PEDERSEN: And I will be happy to
- 2 amend that to include that -- that the final
- 3 approval process will be after discussion with the -
- 4 with Governor Sandoval.
- 5 TIM BUNTING: It's not, though. The
- 6 Commission -- excuse me. Tim Bunting for the
- 7 record. Read the NRS. This Commission is the --
- 8 the body that appoints the Executive Director. What
- 9 Sheriff Pierini is talking about is he's just
- 10 telling he Governor who got appointed. The Governor
- 11 didn't --
- 12 RON PIERINI: Yeah, we're going to --
- 13 exactly right, Tim. What I want to do is to inform
- 14 him on that. Obviously, he's the head of the
- 15 Executive Director, of everything in the state, so I
- 16 mean, he can always change his way. All right? So,
- 17 I'm just trying to be -- courtesy towards the
- 18 Governor of the State Nevada. And I don't --- I
- 19 don't see that being a problem. Whatever. That's
- 20 what we're going to do.
- 21 TROY TANNNER: Troy Tanner. I'll -- I'll
- 22 do a second and then second -- I just have one more
- 23 thing of -- I'm not trying to mess up your motion,
- 24 but --
- 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, no, it's good.

- 1 TROY TANNER: Can we -- can we put in
- 2 there that, some of the things that Mr. Sherlock
- 3 talked about today, that we visit that in one year
- 4 from now to make sure we're going in that direction?
- 5 I read this clearly, too, when it was provided to us
- 6 on the Executive Director position NRS 289.520. And
- 7 it says, of course, may be removed by the Commission
- 8 by a majority vote with its members anytime for
- 9 cause. I'd just like to have some kind of direction
- 10 feature rather than say go with it and we'll see you
- 11 in 15 years. So -- or five years or eight years,
- 12 whatever it is. So some of the things he talked
- 13 about, maybe put those down as goals. And, like, a
- 14 lot of our departments do is department heads is
- 15 come up with a yearly plan of goals and objectives
- 16 instead of in the past, we haven't really been
- 17 involved in that. And so, like we talk about,
- 18 people are -- it's on our watch right now. So I
- 19 just want to hold whoever it is, which would be
- 20 Sherlock, more accountable in the future just so we
- 21 know what's going on and we agree with the direction
- 22 POST is going. So -- because there's a lot of
- 23 things we heard during this process we all talked
- 24 about. I've heard more than I've ever known about
- 25 POST in over 20-something years now in this last few

- 1 months. Of things I hear, I don't know what's true,
- 2 what's not, but I'd like our involvement to be a
- 3 little more than it's been in the past. If my name
- 4 is going to be on it.
- 5 RON PIERINI: I appreciate that, Chief. I
- 6 think that's a good idea. Clair.
- 7 CLAIR MORRIS: Clair Morris for the
- 8 record. I think that's important we do that.
- 9 (Inaudible) being chief of police pretty -- pretty
- 10 (inaudible) time. You know, you go before the city
- 11 council on a yearly basis to evaluate your job for
- 12 the past year. I think that's important. I think
- 13 Mr. Sherlock has answered a lot of our questions and
- 14 concerns and we're satisfied, but we just want to
- 15 make sure that he follows through with what he --
- 16 what he said he's going to do.
- 17 I used to joke with the Sheriff that, you
- 18 know, he has to run for election every four years.
- 19 I had to run for city council every other Tuesday.
- 20 So, you know, you got to -- you got to make sure
- 21 that, you know, that we hold him accountable for
- 22 what -- what he said he's going to do.
- 23 RON PIERINI: Okay. Thank you. Anyone
- 24 else like to make a comment?
- DALE LIEBHERR: Dale Liebherr for the

- 1 record. Is it a possibility that we can have Mr.
- 2 Sherlock come up with performance objectives for the
- 3 next year, what he wants to attain or his goal for
- 4 the next year in writing?
- 5 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner. I think that's
- 6 a great idea. And like we just talked about, maybe
- 7 have our ideas written down when we (inaudible) that
- 8 meeting and bring those there also and implement
- 9 those as our short-term, long-term goals. You know,
- 10 and then he report how he -- the progress on those,
- 11 but I think all of us have a lot of great ideas,
- 12 too, and understand not what's just going on
- 13 nationally, but all the laws changing, too, just to
- 14 stay up to date and also feel good about what's
- 15 going on at POST to make sure it is the academy one
- 16 is looking to, like he talked about. You know, I'm
- 17 excited for that -- to look that direction for help
- 18 rather than say staffing is low, can't do it, budget
- 19 is bad, you know, on and on. It needs to be the
- 20 leader.
- 21 You know, I had some conversations with
- 22 Gary when I first came on this board. He's not here
- 23 today, but talked about that should be the leader.
- 24 You know, there's a leader, they're Nevada POST. We
- 25 should all support them and that a lot of discussion

- 1 coming out of there that doesn't right now. And
- 2 that, you know, there's many reasons, I'm sure, but
- 3 we should help work on that like the Sheriff talked
- 4 about -- the Chair, he talked about it the other day
- 5 in our Chiefs' meeting about more support for POST.
- 6 RON PIERINI: I think one of the things
- 7 that we could do and maybe we could put that down,
- 8 Scott, is our next meeting and, Tim, if you would.
- 9 And what we should do is to have a workshop and to
- 10 be able to discuss that and talk about it and see
- 11 what kind of goals that we want to do. So if we
- 12 could, I don't know when the next meeting, we'll
- 13 talk about that shortly. But what the point of it
- 14 is is that maybe we could have a workshop for a
- 15 couple of hours maybe, and we could discuss the
- 16 things that we would like to have done.
- 17 So, okay, does anybody else have any more
- 18 comments? I think we're okay on the -- on the
- 19 public end of it, Mike, huh? I think we've gone
- 20 through that.
- 21 So we've got a motion, we have a second
- 22 and I want to ask are you in favor? Say, "Aye."
- 23 COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
- 24 RON PIERINI: Anyone opposed?
- 25 Congratulations. Well, after our workshop, you

- 1 might be running out the door. All right. Thank
- 2 you. We're going to public comments. If there's
- 3 anybody else in the audience that would like to make
- 4 a comment of any item or any topic that wasn't
- 5 discussed on our agenda. Sir.
- 6 GREG BENALAK: Very briefly. Greg Benalak
- 7 again. I just want to say this is -- this is great.
- 8 The points that Troy brought up with bringing the
- 9 POST Commission with POST more communication, more
- 10 training objectives, you know, as a collective
- 11 together. This is a wesome. This is a revelation.
- 12 And I think this is going to be a new age with
- 13 training and the State of Nevada to make Nevada POST
- 14 number one, but we can't do it without a collective
- 15 with all you guys helping out. You guys were
- 16 appointed to your positions because you're above
- 17 everybody else in your departments. Everybody is
- 18 looking at you to, as leaders, and I think it's
- 19 going to work out great.
- 20 RON PIERINI: Thank you very much. Anyone
- 21 else like to make a comment? Okay, going onto
- 22 Number 13. As it stands right now, Tim Bunting,
- 23 what are we doing as far maybe the next one? Okay,
- 24 we have anything that's coming up ahead that we need
- 25 to --

- 1 TIM BUNTING: Tim Bunting for the record.
- 2 The only thing we have is NAC change and the
- 3 workshop you just mentioned. So. We cleaned up all
- 4 the revocations or most of it. There's still at
- 5 least one more revocation. So.
- 6 RON PIERINI: Okay, because I think the
- 7 only thing that we have with the Sheriffs and Chiefs
- 8 I believe, Bob, I think, the only one we're going to
- 9 be doing for -- would be November. So is that, you
- 10 know, that's when we're going to have -- you're
- 11 going to have another Sheriffs and Chiefs meeting is
- 12 on November 2 with Sheriffs and Chiefs, right?
- 13 BOB ROSHAK: Bob Roshak for the record.
- 14 That's correct.
- 15 RON PIERINI: And you don't have one
- 16 coming up earlier than that.
- 17 BOB ROSHAK: There's none scheduled until
- 18 the November meeting.
- 19 RON PIERINI: Okay. So would it be fair
- 20 for everybody here -- thank you, Bob, I appreciate
- 21 it.
- 22 BOB ROSHAK: I do have a question.
- 23 RON PIERINI: Yes.
- 24 BOB ROSHAK: If you are intending on
- 25 having a POST meeting, I'll need to know because

- 1 sometimes you did some, sometimes you didn't and we
- 2 have to make arrangements with the Palace Station to
- 3 get that room and space for you.
- 4 RON PIERINI: Okay. Well, the staff will
- 5 take care of that.
- 6 BOB ROSHAK: Thank you.
- 7 RON PIERINI: I would think we probably --
- 8 we could probably last unless there's an emergency
- 9 that happens that we need to discuss to kind of deal
- 10 with. I think our next meeting, even though it's a
- 11 long ways away, but I think we'll do it on that
- 12 November time. If that's okay. But we've still got
- 13 some work to do on that, so we really -- yes, sir.
- 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Would it be
- 15 possible for us to either e-mail our thoughts on the
- 16 workshop to you and different topic points, so since
- 17 the meeting is not till November, that maybe some
- 18 research can be done and so we can have a more, you
- 19 know, distinct discussion during that workshop or to
- 20 staff? Whatever your direction is.
- 21 RON PIERINI: I think that, you know,
- 22 normally, if I could, is that I do have another job.
- 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
- 24 RON PIERINI: So what I'm saying is --
- 25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Or your designee.

- 1 RON PIERINI: They handle that.
- 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yeah.
- RON PIERINI: So, you know, you go ahead
- 4 and put all those down there and then, you know, I
- 5 would appreciate anything that you would like to
- 6 send it to them and they can get kind of an idea
- 7 where we're going.
- 8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, sir.
- 9 TROY TANNER: Troy Tanner. I have one
- 10 more comment. Can POST staff put together the dates
- 11 to make sure it runs in alignment with budgeting, so
- 12 that -- so, basically, they can come with their
- 13 ideas, we can have our ideas at that workshop so he
- 14 has enough time to act on them as a collective unit
- 15 rather than always falling behind and trying to
- 16 hurry. So if we can come up with those dates staff
- 17 could, that would be great for us as far maybe to
- 18 be, you know, and we'd have that. And then, also,
- 19 when you guys would have your goals and objectives
- 20 together maybe ahead of time so we can review those
- 21 before we come up with ours. That -- that would
- 22 help.
- 23 RON PIERINI: Okay. Good thinking. Okay.
- 24 I wonder if anybody has any problem with Number 14.
- 25 And that is Discussion, Public Comment and for

```
Possible Action, adjournment? I need a -- I need
1
2
    somebody to say we're ready to go.
3
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm ready.
             RON PIERINI: All right. We got a first
4
    and second. All in favor.
5
6
              COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
7
              RON PIERINI: Thank you.
8
              (MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:46 p.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	C-E-R-T-I-F-I-C-A-T-I-O-N
2	
3	I certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
4	transcript of the electronic audio recording from
5	the meeting in the above-entitled matter.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	MICHELE BOYLES COURT REPORTING SERVICES DATE
14	COOKI KLI OKIII O DLIVICLO
15	
16	
17	